arXiv:1111.4378v1 [math.NA] 18 Nov 2011

APPROXIMATION OF THE LONG-TERM DYNAMICS OF THE DYNAMICAL SYSTEM GENERATED BY THE TWO-DIMENSIONAL THERMOHYDRAULICS EQUATIONS

FLORENTINA TONE

Abstract. Pursuing our work in [18], [17], [20], [5], we consider in this article the two-dimensional thermohydraulics equations. We discretize these equations in time using the implicit Euler scheme and we prove that the global attractors generated by the numerical scheme converge to the global attractor of the continuous system as the time-step approaches zero.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. The thermohydraulics equations 3
3. H-Uniform Boundedness of v^n and θ^n 9
4. V-Uniform Boundedness of v^n and θ^n 14
4.1. H^1-Uniform Boundedness of v^n 14
4.2. H^1-Uniform Boundedness of θ^n 22
5. Convergence of Attractors 26
5.1. Attractors for multi-valued mappings 26
5.2. Application: The thermohydraulics equations 28
References 37

1. Introduction

In this article we discretize the two-dimensional thermohydraulics equations in time using the implicit Euler scheme, and we show that global attractors generated by the numerical scheme converge to the global attractor of the continuous system as the time-step approaches zero. In order to do this, we first prove that the scheme is H^1-uniformly

---

Date: August 24, 2011.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 65M12; Secondary: 76D05.

Key words and phrases. Thermohydraulics equations, discrete Gronwall lemmas, implicit Euler scheme, global attractors.

stable in time (see Section 4) and then we show that the long-term dynamics of the continuous system can be approximated by the discrete attractors of the dynamical systems generated by the numerical scheme (see Section 5).

In the case of the Navier–Stokes equations with Dirichlet boundary conditions, the H^1-uniform stability of the fully implicit Euler scheme has proven to be rather challenging. However, using techniques based on the classical and uniform discrete Gronwall lemmas, we have been able to show the H^1-stability for all time of the implicit Euler scheme for the Navier–Stokes equations with Dirichlet boundary conditions (see [20]). The H^2-stability has also been established. More precisely, the H^2-stability has first been proven in the simpler case of space periodic boundary conditions (see [17]), and then extended to Dirichlet boundary conditions (see [18]); the magnetohydrodynamics equations are also considered in [18].

Our first objective in this article is to extend the H^1-uniform stability proven in [20] for the Navier–Stokes equations with Dirichlet boundary conditions, to the thermohydraulics equations. In order to do so, we divide our proof into three steps. First, we prove the L^2-uniform stability of both the discrete velocity v^n and the discrete temperature θ^n (see Lemma 3.2 below). Then, using techniques based on the classical and uniform discrete Gronwall lemmas, we derive the H^1-uniform stability of v^n (see Theorem 4.1 below), which we will use in Subsection 4.2 in order to establish the H^1-uniform stability of θ^n (see Theorem 4.2 below). Besides the intrinsec interest of considering the thermohydraulics equations, the new technical difficulties which appear here are related to the specific treatment of the temperature with the necessary utilization of the maximum principle. Furthermore, we have simplified some steps of the proof as compared to [20].

Our second objective in this article is to employ the technique developed in [5] to prove that the global attractors generated by the fully implicit Euler scheme converge to the global attractor of the continuous system as the time-step approaches zero. When discretizing the two-dimensional thermohydraulics equations in time using the implicit Euler scheme, one can prove the uniqueness of the solution provided that the time step is sufficiently small. More precisely, the time restriction depends on the initial value, and thus one cannot define a single-valued attractor in the classical sense. This is why we need to use the theory of the so-called multi-valued attractors, which we briefly recall in Subsection 5.1.

2. The thermohydraulics equations

Let $\Omega = (0,1) \times (0,1)$ be the domain occupied by the fluid and let e_2 be the unit upward vertical vector. The thermohydraulics equations consist of the coupled system of the equations of fluid and temperature in the Boussinesq approximation and they read (see, e.g., [6], [15]):

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + (v \cdot \nabla)v - \nu \Delta v + \nabla p = e_2(T - T_1), \tag{2.1}$$

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + (v \cdot \nabla)T - \kappa \Delta T = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\operatorname{div} v = 0; \tag{2.3}$$

here $v = (v_1, v_2)$ is the velocity, p is the pressure, T is the temperature, T_1 is the temperature at the top boundary, $x_2 = 1$, and ν, κ are positive constants. We supplement these equations with the initial conditions

$$v(x,0) = v_0(x), \tag{2.4}$$

$$T(x,0) = T^0(x), \tag{2.5}$$

where $v_0 : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^2$, $T^0 : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ are given, and with the boundary conditions

$$v = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad x_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 = 1, \tag{2.6}$$

$$T = T_0 = T_1 + 1 \quad \text{at} \quad x_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad T = T_1 \quad \text{at} \quad x_2 = 1, \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{c} p, v, T \text{ and the first derivatives of } v \text{ and } T \text{ are periodic} \\ \text{of period 1 in the direction } x_1, \end{array} \tag{2.8}$$

meaning that $\phi|_{x_1=0} = \phi|_{x_1=1}$ for the corresponding functions ϕ.

Letting

$$\theta = T - T_0 + x_2, \tag{2.9}$$

and changing p to

$$p - \left(x_2 - \frac{x_2^2}{2} \right), \tag{2.10}$$

equations (2.1)–(2.3) together with the boundary conditions (2.6)–(2.8) become

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + (v \cdot \nabla)v - \nu\Delta v + \nabla p = e_2\theta, \tag{2.11}$$

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + (v \cdot \nabla)\theta - v_2 - \kappa\Delta\theta = 0, \tag{2.12}$$

$$\operatorname{div} v = 0, \tag{2.13}$$

$$v = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad x_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 = 1, \tag{2.14}$$

$$\theta = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad x_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 = 1, \tag{2.15}$$

$$\text{(2.8) holds with } T \text{ replaced by } \theta. \tag{2.16}$$

These equations are supplemented with the initial conditions

$$v(x,0) = v_0(x), \tag{2.17}$$

$$\theta(x,0) = T^0(x) - T_0 + x_2 =: \theta_0(x). \tag{2.18}$$

For the mathematical setting of the problem we define the space $H = H_1 \times H_2$, where

$$H_1 = \left\{ v \in L^2(\Omega)^2,\ \operatorname{div} = 0,\ v_2|_{x_2=0} = v_2|_{x_2=1} = 0, v_1|_{x_1=0} = v_1|_{x_1=1} \right\}, \tag{2.19}$$

$$H_2 = L^2(\Omega), \tag{2.20}$$

and we denote the scalar products and norms in H_1, H_2 and H by $(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $|\cdot|$.

We also define the space $V = V_1 \times V_2$, where

$$V_1 = \left\{ v \in H^1(\Omega)^2,\ v|_{x_2=0} = v|_{x_2=1} = 0, v|_{x_1=0} = v|_{x_1=1},\ \operatorname{div}\, v = 0 \right\}, \tag{2.21}$$

$$V_2 = \left\{ \theta \in H^1(\Omega),\ \theta|_{x_2=0} = \theta|_{x_2=1} = 0, \theta|_{x_1=0} = \theta|_{x_1=1} \right\}. \tag{2.22}$$

The space V_2 is a Hilbert space with the scalar product and the norm

$$((\phi,\psi)) = \int \nabla\phi \cdot \nabla\psi \, dx, \qquad \|\phi\| = \sqrt{((\phi,\phi))}, \tag{2.23}$$

and we have the Poincaré inequality (see, e.g., [15], page 134)

$$|\phi| \le \|\phi\|, \quad \forall\, \phi \in V_1 \text{ or } V_2. \tag{2.24}$$

We denote both scalar products and norms in V_1 and V by $((\cdot,\cdot))$ and $\|\cdot\|$.

Let $D(A) = D(A_1) \times D(A_2)$, where

$$D(A_i) = \left\{ v \in V_i \cap H^2(\Omega)^2, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1}\Big|_{x_1=0} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1}\Big|_{x_1=1} \right\},\ i = 1,2, \tag{2.25}$$

and let A be the linear operator from $D(A)$ into H and from V into V' defined by

$$(Au_1, u_2) = a(u_1, u_2), \forall\, u_i = \{v_i, \theta_i\} \in D(A),\, i = 1, 2, \tag{2.26}$$

with

$$a(u_1, u_2) = \nu((v_1, v_2)) + \kappa((\theta_1, \theta_2)). \tag{2.27}$$

We consider the trilinear continuous form b on V, defined by

$$b(u_1, u_2, u_3) = b_1(v_1, v_2, v_3) + b_2(v_1, \theta_2, \theta_3), \forall u_i = \{v_i, \theta_i\} \in V, \tag{2.28}$$

where

$$b_1(y, w, z) = \sum_{i,j=1,2} \int_\Omega y_i \frac{\partial w_j}{\partial x_i} z_j \,\mathrm{d}x, \forall\, y, w, z \in H^1(\Omega)^2, \tag{2.29}$$

$$b_2(y, \phi, \psi) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_\Omega y_i \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} \psi \,\mathrm{d}x, \forall\, y \in H^1(\Omega)^2, \phi, \psi \in H^1(\Omega). \tag{2.30}$$

The form b_1 is trilinear continuous on $V_1 \times V_1 \times V_1$ and enjoys the following properties:

$$|b_1(y, w, z)| \le c_b |y|^{1/2} \|y\|^{1/2} \|w\| |z|^{1/2} \|z\|^{1/2}, \quad \forall\, y, w, z \in V_1, \tag{2.31}$$

$$\begin{gathered} |b_1(y, w, z)| \le c_b |y|^{1/2} |A_1 y|^{1/2} \|w\| |z|, \\ \forall\, y \in D(A_1),\, w \in V_1,\, z \in H_1, \end{gathered} \tag{2.32}$$

$$\begin{gathered} |b_1(y, w, z)| \le c_b |y|^{1/2} \|y\|^{1/2} \|w\|^{1/2} |A_1 w|^{1/2} |z|, \\ \forall\, y \in V_1, w \in D(A_1), z \in H_1, \end{gathered} \tag{2.33}$$

$$b_1(y, w, w) = 0, \quad \forall\, y, w \in V_1, \tag{2.34}$$

the last equation implying

$$b_1(y, w, z) = -b_1(y, z, w), \quad \forall\, y, w, z \in V_1. \tag{2.35}$$

The form b_2 is trilinear continuous on $V_1 \times V_2 \times V_2$ and enjoys the following properties, similar to (2.31)–(2.35):

$$|b_2(y, \phi, \psi)| \le c_b |y|^{1/2} \|y\|^{1/2} \|\phi\| |\psi|^{1/2} \|\psi\|^{1/2}, \quad \forall\, y, \phi, \psi \in V_2, \tag{2.36}$$

$$\begin{gathered} |b_2(y, \phi, \psi)| \le c_b |y|^{1/2} |A_2 y|^{1/2} \|\phi\| |\psi|, \\ \forall\, y \in D(A_2),\, \phi \in V_2,\, \psi \in H_2, \end{gathered} \tag{2.37}$$

$$\begin{gathered} |b_2(y, \phi, \psi)| \le c_b |y|^{1/2} \|y\|^{1/2} \|\phi\|^{1/2} |A_2 \phi|^{1/2} |\psi|, \\ \forall\, y \in V_1,\, \phi \in D(A_2),\, \psi \in H_2, \end{gathered} \tag{2.38}$$

$$b_2(y, \phi, \phi) = 0, \quad \forall\, y \in V_1,\ \phi \in V_2, \tag{2.39}$$

the last equation implying

$$b_2(y, \phi, \psi) = -b_2(y, \psi, \phi), \quad \forall\, y \in V_1,\ \phi, \psi \in V_2. \tag{2.40}$$

We associate with b the bilinear continuous operator B from $V \times V$ into V' and from $D(A) \times D(A)$ into H, such that

$$\langle B(u_1, u_2), u_3 \rangle_{V',V} = b(u_1, u_2, u_3), \quad \forall\, u_1, u_2, u_3 \in V. \tag{2.41}$$

We also define the continuous operator in H

$$Ru = -\{e_2\theta, v_2\},\ u = \{v, \theta\}. \tag{2.42}$$

For more details about the function spaces $D(A)$, V and H, as well as the operators A, B, R and b, the reader is referred to, e.g., [15].

In the above notation, the system (2.11)–(2.13) can be written as the functional evolution equation

$$u_t + Au + B(u) + Ru = 0, \qquad u(0) = u_0 = \{v_0, \theta_0\}. \tag{2.43}$$

In the two-dimensional case under consideration, the solution to the thermohydraulics equations is known to be smooth for all time (cf. [15]). Using the maximum principle for parabolic equations, one can show that $\theta \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(\Omega))$ and the velocity u is bounded uniformly for all time by

$$|v(t)|^2_{L^2(\Omega)^2} \leq \mathrm{e}^{-\nu t}|v_0|^2_{L^2(\Omega)^2} + \frac{\theta^2_\infty}{\nu^2}\big(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\nu t}\big), \tag{2.44}$$

where $\theta_\infty = |\theta|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+;L^2(\Omega))}$. Furthermore, using techniques based on the uniform Gronwall lemma (cf. [15]), one can bound the solution u of (2.43) uniformly in V for all $t \geq 0$.

In this article we discretize (2.43) in time using the fully implicit Euler scheme, and define recursively the elements $u^n = \{v^n, \theta^n\}$ of V as follows:

$$\begin{gathered} u^0 = \{v^0, \theta^0\},\ \text{where } v^0(x) = v_0(x),\ \text{and} \\ \theta^0(x) = \theta_0(x) := T^0(x) - T_0 + x_2 \text{ are given;} \end{gathered} \tag{2.45}$$

then when $u^0 = \{v^0, \theta^0\}, \cdots, u^{n-1} = \{v^{n-1}, \theta^{n-1}\}$ are known, we define $u^n = \{v^n, \theta^n\} \in V$ such that

(2.46)
$$\frac{1}{k}(v^n - v^{n-1}, v) + \nu((v^n, v)) + b_1(v^n, v^n, v) = (e_2\theta^n, v),\ \forall v \in V_1,$$

(2.47)
$$\frac{1}{k}(\theta^n - \theta^{n-1}, \theta) + \kappa((\theta^n, \theta)) + b_2(v^n, \theta^n, \theta) - (v^n_2, \theta) = 0,\ \forall \theta \in V_2.$$

The above system is very similar to the stationary Navier–Stokes equations and the existence of solutions is proven e.g. by the Galerkin method, as in [16]. Uniqueness can also be derived as in [16] under some conditions. Let us explain this point, which somehow motivates the developments in Section 5. For that, we rewrite the system (2.45)–(2.47) in the form

$$(v^n,v)+\nu k((v^n,v))+kb_1(v^n,v^n,v)-k(e_2\theta^n,v)=(v^{n-1},v),\ \forall v\in V_1, \tag{2.48}$$

$$(\theta^n,\theta)+\kappa k((\theta^n,\theta))+kb_2(v^n,\theta^n,\theta)-k(v_2^n,\theta)=(\theta^{n-1},\theta),\ \forall\theta\in V_2, \tag{2.49}$$

and assume that $\{v^n,\theta^n\}$ and $\{\bar v^n,\bar\theta^n\}$ are two solutions corresponding to the same initial data $\{v_0,\theta_0\}\in V$. Setting $\tilde v^n=v^n-\bar v^n$ and $\tilde\theta^n=\theta^n-\bar\theta^n$, we obtain that $\{\tilde v^n,\tilde\theta^n\}$ is a solution to the following system:

$$(\tilde v^n,v)+\nu k((\tilde v^n,v))+kb_1(\tilde v^n,v^n,v)+kb_1(\bar v^n,\tilde v^n,v)-k(e_2\tilde\theta^n,v)=0,\ \forall v\in V_1, \tag{2.50}$$

$$(\tilde\theta^n,\theta)+\kappa k((\tilde\theta^n,\theta))+kb_2(\tilde v^n,\theta^n,\theta)+kb_2(\bar v^n,\tilde\theta^n,\theta)-k(\tilde v_2^n,\theta)=0.\ \forall\theta\in V_2, \tag{2.51}$$

Taking $v=\tilde v^n$ in (2.50) and using (2.34), we obtain

$$|\tilde v^n|^2+\nu k\|\tilde v^n\|^2+kb_1(\tilde v^n,v^n,\tilde v^n)-k(e_2\tilde\theta^n,\tilde v^n)=0. \tag{2.52}$$

Using property (2.31) of the trilinear form b_1 and the bound (4.66) below on $\|v^n\|$, we obtain (for $k\le\kappa_7(\|\{v_0,\theta_0\}\|)$, with $\kappa_7(\|\{v_0,\theta_0\}\|)$ given in Theorem 4.3 below):

$$\begin{aligned} kb_1(\tilde v^n,v^n,\tilde v^n)&\le c_bk|\tilde v^n|\|\tilde v^n\|\|v^n\|\le c_bK_5k|\tilde v^n|\|\tilde v^n\|\\ &\le\frac{\nu}{4}k\|\tilde v^n\|^2+\frac{c_b}{\nu}K_5^2k|\tilde v^n|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{2.53}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} k(e_2\tilde\theta^n,\tilde v^n)&\le k|e_2\tilde\theta^n||\tilde v^n|\le k|\tilde\theta^n|\|\tilde v^n\|\\ &\le\frac{\nu}{4}k\|\tilde v^n\|^2+\frac{1}{\nu}k|\tilde\theta^n|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{2.54}$$

Relations (2.52)–(2.54) imply

$$\left(1-\frac{c_b}{\nu}K_5^2k\right)|\tilde v^n|^2+\frac{\nu}{2}k\|\tilde v^n\|^2\le\frac{1}{\nu}k|\tilde\theta^n|^2. \tag{2.55}$$

Now taking $\theta=\tilde\theta^n$ in (2.51) and using (2.39), we obtain

$$|\tilde\theta^n|^2+\kappa k\|\tilde\theta^n\|^2+kb_2(\tilde v^n,\theta^n,\tilde\theta^n)-k(\tilde v_2^n,\tilde\theta^n)=0. \tag{2.56}$$

Using property (2.36) of the trilinear form b_2 and the bound (4.66) below on $\|\theta^n\|$, we obtain

(2.57)
$$\begin{aligned} kb_2(\tilde{v}^n, \theta^n, \tilde{\theta}^n) &\le c_b k|\tilde{v}^n|^{1/2}\|\tilde{v}^n\|^{1/2}\|\theta^n\||\tilde{\theta}^n|^{1/2}\|\tilde{\theta}^n\|^{1/2} \\ &\le \frac{\nu}{4}k\|\tilde{v}^n\|^2 + \frac{\kappa}{4}k\|\tilde{\theta}^n\|^2 + cK_5^2k|\tilde{v}^n|^2 + cK_5^2k|\tilde{\theta}^n|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$k(\tilde{v}_2^n, \tilde{\theta}^n) \le k|\tilde{v}_2^n||\tilde{\theta}^n| \le k|\tilde{v}^n|\|\tilde{\theta}^n\| \le \frac{\kappa}{4}k\|\tilde{\theta}^n\|^2 + \frac{1}{\kappa}k|\tilde{v}^n|^2. \tag{2.58}$$

Relations (2.56)–(2.58) yield

$$(1 - cK_5^2k)|\tilde{\theta}^n|^2 + \frac{\kappa}{2}k\|\tilde{\theta}^n\|^2 \le \frac{\nu}{4}k\|\tilde{v}^n\|^2 + cK_5^2k|\tilde{v}^n|^2 + \frac{1}{\kappa}k|\tilde{v}^n|^2. \tag{2.59}$$

Adding relations (2.55) and (2.59), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(1 - \frac{c_b}{\nu}K_5^2k - cK_5^2k - \frac{1}{\kappa}k\right)|\tilde{v}^n|^2 + \left(1 - cK_5^2k - \frac{c}{\nu}k\right)|\tilde{\theta}^n|^2 \\ &+ \frac{\nu}{4}k\|\tilde{v}^n\|^2 + \frac{\kappa}{2}k\|\tilde{\theta}^n\|^2 \le 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.60}$$

Assuming k is sufficiently small, that is

$$k \le \min\left\{\kappa_7(\|\{v_0, \theta_0\}\|), \frac{1}{2\left(\frac{c_b}{\nu}K_5^2 + cK_5^2 + \frac{1}{\kappa}\right)}, \frac{1}{2\left(cK_5^2 + \frac{c}{\nu}\right)}\right\}, \tag{2.61}$$

relation (2.60) implies $\tilde{v}^n = \tilde{\theta}^n = 0$. Hence, the system (2.45)–(2.47) possesses a unique solution, provided that the time-step satisfies the constraint (2.61). This is enough to uniquely define the sequence $\{v^n, \theta^n\}$ for k small enough, but the dependence of the time step k on the initial data prevents us from defining a single-valued attractor in the classical sense, and this is why we need the theory of the multi-valued attractors, that we discuss in Subsection 5.1.

Our next aims are to prove that the solution $u^n = \{v^n, \theta^n\}$ to the discrete system (2.45)–(2.47) is uniformly bounded in the V-norm and then to show that the global attractors generated by the numerical scheme (2.45)–(2.47) converge to the global attractor of the continuous system as the time-step approaches zero.

In this article we only consider time discretization, we do not consider space discretization. Important background information on space discretization and on various computational methods can be found in some of the books and articles available in the literature. On finite elements, see, e.g., [7], [9]; on finite differences and finite elements, [10], [16]; on spectral methods, [3], [8].

3. H-Uniform Boundedness of v^n and θ^n

In proving the H-uniform boundedness of v^n and θ^n, we need first to prove a variant of the maximum principle for θ^n. In order to do so, we introduce the following truncation operators (cf. [15]), that associate with the function φ, the functions φ_+ and φ_-, given by

$$\varphi_+(x) = \max(\varphi(x), 0), \quad \varphi_-(x) = \max(-\varphi(x), 0). \tag{3.1}$$

Note that, with this notation, we have $\varphi = \varphi_+ - \varphi_-$, the absolute value $|\varphi|$ of φ is $\varphi_+ + \varphi_-$ and $\varphi_+\varphi_- = 0$. Using these operators, we can prove the following preliminary lemma

Lemma 3.1. *If $\varphi, \psi \in L^2(\Omega)$, then*

$$2(\varphi - \psi, \varphi_+) \geq |\varphi_+|^2 - |\psi_+|^2 + |\varphi_+ - \psi_+|^2, \tag{3.2}$$

$$-2(\varphi - \psi, \varphi_-) \geq |\varphi_-|^2 - |\psi_-|^2 + |\varphi_- - \psi_-|^2. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\varphi - \psi, \varphi_+) &= 2(\varphi_+ - \varphi_- - \psi_+ + \psi_-, \varphi_+) \\ &= 2(\varphi_+ - \psi_+, \varphi_+) - 2(\varphi_- - \psi_-, \varphi_+) \\ &= |\varphi_+|^2 - |\psi_+|^2 + |\varphi_+ - \psi_+|^2 + 2\int_\Omega \psi_-\varphi_+ \, dx \\ &\geq |\varphi_+|^2 - |\psi_+|^2 + |\varphi_+ - \psi_+|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

since $\psi_-\varphi_+ \geq 0$. The proof is similar for (3.3) and the lemma is proved. □

We are now able to prove the following variant of the maximum principle for θ^n:

Lemma 3.2. *If v^n and θ^n satisfy* (2.46) *and* (2.47)*, then*

$$\theta^n = \tilde{\theta}^n + \bar{\theta}^n, \tag{3.5}$$

with

$$x_2 - 1 \leq \tilde{\theta}^n \leq x_2, \tag{3.6}$$

$$|\bar{\theta}^n| \leq \left(|\theta^0_+| + |\theta^0_-|\right)(1 + 2\kappa k)^{-\frac{n}{2}}. \tag{3.7}$$

Moreover, there exists $M_1 = M_1(|\theta_0|)$, given in (3.26) *below, such that*

$$|\theta^n| \leq M_1, \forall n \geq 1. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. Rewriting (2.47) in terms of $T^n = \theta^n + T_0 - x_2$, we find:

(3.9)

$$\frac{1}{k}(T^n - T^{n-1}, T) + \kappa((T^n, T)) + b_2(v^n, T^n, T) = 0, \; \forall T \in V_2, = 0, \; n \geq 1.$$

Replacing T by $2k(T^n - T_0)_+$ in the above equation and using (3.2), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &|(T^n - T_0)_+|^2 - |(T^{n-1} - T_0)_+|^2 \\ &+|(T^n - T_0)_+ - (T^{n-1} - T_0)_+|^2 + 2k\kappa\|(T^n - T_0)_+\|^2 \leq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Using the Poincaré inequality (2.24), we find

$$|(T^n - T_0)_+|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}|(T^{n-1} - T_0)_+|^2, \tag{3.11}$$

where

$$\alpha = 1 + 2\kappa k. \tag{3.12}$$

Using recursively (3.11), we find

$$|(T^n - T_0)_+|^2 \leq (1 + 2\kappa k)^{-n}|(T^0 - T_0)_+|^2. \tag{3.13}$$

Similarly, using (3.3), we obtain

$$|(T^n - T_1)_-|^2 \leq (1 + 2\kappa k)^{-n}|(T^0 - T_1)_-|^2. \tag{3.14}$$

Setting

$$T^n = \tilde{T}^n + \bar{T}^n, \text{ with } \bar{T}^n = (T^n - T_0)_+ - (T^n - T_1)_-, \tag{3.15}$$

we find that $\tilde{T}^n = T^n - (T^n - T_0)_+ + (T^n - T_1)_-$, so that $\tilde{T}^n = T_1$, for $T^n \leq T_1$, $\tilde{T}^n = T^n$, for $T_1 \leq T^n \leq T_0$, and $\tilde{T}^n = T_0$, for $T^n > T_0$; in all cases

$$T_1 \leq \tilde{T}^n \leq T_0. \tag{3.16}$$

Rewriting (3.13)–(3.15) in terms of θ, we obtain

$$|(\theta^n - x_2)_+|^2 \leq (1 + 2\kappa k)^{-n}|(\theta^0 - x_2)_+|^2, \tag{3.17}$$

$$|(\theta^n - x_2 + 1)_-|^2 \leq (1 + 2\kappa k)^{-n}|(\theta^0 - x_2 + 1)_-|^2, \tag{3.18}$$

$$\theta^n + T_0 - x_2 = \tilde{T}^n + (\theta^n - x_2)_+ - (\theta^n - x_2 + 1)_-. \tag{3.19}$$

Setting

$$\bar{\theta}^n = (\theta^n - x_2)_+ - (\theta^n - x_2 + 1)_-, \tag{3.20}$$

$$\tilde{\theta}^n = \tilde{T}^n - T_0 + x_2, \tag{3.21}$$

equation (3.19) becomes

$$\theta^n = \tilde{\theta}^n + \bar{\theta}^n. \tag{3.22}$$

By (3.16), we have

$$x_2 - 1 \leq \tilde{\theta}^n \leq x_2, \tag{3.23}$$

and by (3.20), (3.17) and (3.18) we derive

$$\begin{aligned}|\bar{\theta}^n| &\leq |(\theta^n - x_2)_+| + |(\theta^n - x_2 + 1)_-| \\ &\leq (1 + 2\kappa k)^{-\frac{n}{2}} (|\theta^0_+| + |\theta^0_-|).\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

To complete the proof of the lemma, we note that (3.22), (3.23) and (3.24) yield

$$|\theta^n| \leq |\Omega|^{1/2} + \left(|\theta^0_+| + |\theta^0_-|\right) (1 + 2\kappa k)^{-\frac{n}{2}}, \forall n \geq 1, \tag{3.25}$$

and setting

$$M_1(|\theta_0|) = |\Omega|^{1/2} + |\theta^0_+| + |\theta^0_-|, \tag{3.26}$$

we obtain conclusion (3.8) of the lemma. □

Corollary 3.1. *If*

$$k \leq \frac{1}{2\kappa}, \tag{3.27}$$

then $B_{L^2}(0, 2|\Omega|^{1/2})$*, the ball in* L^2 *centered at* 0 *and radius* $2|\Omega|^{1/2}$*, is an absorbing ball for* θ^n *in* L^2*.*

Proof. Indeed, let $\mathcal{B}$ be any bounded set in L^2 and assume that it is included in a ball $B(0, R)$ of L^2. It is easy to deduce from (3.25) that for any $\theta_0 \in B(0, R)$,

$$|\theta^n| \leq |\Omega|^{1/2} + 2R(1 + 2\kappa k)^{-\frac{n}{2}}, \forall n \geq 1, \tag{3.28}$$

and using assumption (3.27) on k and the fact that $1+x \geq \exp(x/2)$ if $x \in (0, 1)$, we obtain that there exists $N^1_0(R, k) := \frac{2\ln\left(\frac{2R}{|\Omega|^{1/2}}\right)}{\kappa k}$ such that $\theta^n \in B_{L^2}(0, 2|\Omega|^{1/2}), \forall n \geq N^1_0$. This completes the proof of the corollary. □

We are now able to prove the H-uniform boundedness of v^n. More precisely, we have the following:

Lemma 3.3. *Let* $\{v^n, \theta^n\}$ *be the solution of the numerical scheme* (2.46)–(2.47)*. Then for every* $k > 0$*, we have*

$$|v^n|^2 \leq (1 + \nu k)^{-n} |v_0|^2 + \frac{M_1^2}{\nu^2} \left[1 - (1 + \nu k)^{-n}\right], \forall\, n \geq 0. \tag{3.29}$$

Moreover, there exists $K_1 = K_1(|v_0|, |\theta_0|)$*, such that*

$$|v^n| \leq K_1, \quad \forall\, n \geq 0, \tag{3.30}$$

and

$$\nu k \sum_{j=i}^{m} \|v^j\|^2 \leq |v^{i-1}|^2 + \frac{1}{\nu} k \sum_{j=i}^{m} |\theta^j|^2, \quad \forall\, i = 1, \cdots, m, \tag{3.31}$$

$$\kappa k \sum_{j=i}^{m} \|\theta^j\|^2 \leq |\theta^{i-1}|^2 + \frac{1}{\kappa} k \sum_{j=i}^{m} |v^j|^2, \quad \forall\, i = 1, \cdots, m. \tag{3.32}$$

Proof. Taking v to be $2kv^n$ in (2.46) and using the relation

$$2(\varphi - \psi, \varphi) = |\varphi|^2 - |\psi|^2 + |\varphi - \psi|^2, \tag{3.33}$$

as well as the skew property (2.34), we obtain

$$|v^n|^2 - |v^{n-1}|^2 + |v^n - v^{n-1}|^2 + 2\nu k \, \|v^n\|^2 = 2k(e_2\theta^n, v^n). \tag{3.34}$$

Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and the Poincaré inequality (2.24), we majorize the right-hand side of (3.34) by

$$\begin{aligned} 2k(e_2\theta^n, v^n) &\leq 2k|e_2\theta^n||v^n| \leq 2k|\theta^n||v^n| \\ &\leq 2k|\theta^n|\|v^n\| \leq \nu k\|v^n\|^2 + \frac{1}{\nu} k \, |\theta^n|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

Relations (3.34) and (3.35) imply

$$|v^n|^2 - |v^{n-1}|^2 + |v^n - v^{n-1}|^2 + \nu k \, \|v^n\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\nu} k \, |\theta^n|^2. \tag{3.36}$$

Using again the Poincaré inequality (2.24), we find

$$|v^n|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} |v^{n-1}|^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha\nu} k \, |\theta^n|^2, \tag{3.37}$$

where

$$\alpha = 1 + \nu k. \tag{3.38}$$

Using recursively (3.37), we find

$$\begin{aligned} |v^n|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha^n} |v^0|^2 + \frac{1}{\nu} k \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\alpha^i} |\theta^{n+1-i}|^2 \\ &\leq (1 + \nu k)^{-n} \, |v^0|^2 + \frac{M_1^2}{\nu^2} \left[1 - (1 + \nu k)^{-n} \right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

which proves (3.29).

Taking $K_1^2 = |v^0|^2 + \frac{M_1^2}{\nu^2}$ relation (3.30) follows right away.

Adding inequalities (3.36) with n from i to m we obtain (3.31).

Now, replacing θ by $2k\theta^n$ in (2.47) and using the skew property (2.39), we obtain

$$|\theta^n|^2 - |\theta^{n-1}|^2 + |\theta^n - \theta^{n-1}|^2 + 2\kappa k \, \|\theta^n\|^2 = 2k(v_2^n, \theta^n). \tag{3.40}$$

Using again the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and the Poincaré inequality (2.24), we majorize the right-hand side of (3.40) by

$$\begin{aligned} 2k(v_2^n,\theta^n) &\le 2k|v_2^n||\theta^n| \le 2k|v^n|\|\theta^n\| \\ &\le \kappa k\|\theta^n\|^2 + \frac{1}{\kappa}k\,|v^n|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

Relations (3.40) and (3.41) imply

$$|\theta^n|^2 - |\theta^{n-1}|^2 + |\theta^n-\theta^{n-1}|^2 + \kappa k\,\|\theta^n\|^2 \le \frac{1}{\kappa}k\,|v^n|^2. \tag{3.42}$$

Summing inequalities (3.42) with n from i to m we obtain (3.32). ☐

Corollary 3.2. *Let*

$$k \le \min\left\{\frac{1}{2\kappa},\frac{1}{\nu}\right\} =: \kappa_1, \tag{3.43}$$

and set $\rho_0 = 2|\Omega|^{1/2} + \frac{\sqrt{5}|\Omega|^{1/2}}{\nu}$. *Then* $B_H(0,\rho_0)$*, the ball in* H *centered at* 0 *and radius* ρ_0*, is an absorbing ball for* $\{v^n,\theta^n\}$ *in* H.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be any bounded set in H and assume that it is included in a ball $B(0,R)$ of H. For any initial data $\{v^0,\theta^0\}\in\mathcal{B}$, Corollary 3.1 implies that

$$|\theta^n| < 2|\Omega|^{1/2}, \forall n \ge N_0^1(R,k), \tag{3.44}$$

and then (3.37) becomes

$$|v^n|^2 \le \frac{1}{\alpha}|v^{n-1}|^2 + \frac{4}{\alpha\nu}|\Omega|k,\ \forall n \ge N_0^1(R,k), \tag{3.45}$$

where

$$\alpha = 1+\nu k. \tag{3.46}$$

Iterating the above inequality, we find (for any $n \ge N_0^1(R,k)$)

$$\begin{aligned} |v^n|^2 &\le \frac{1}{\alpha^{(n-N_0^1)}}|v^{N_0^1}|^2 + \frac{4}{\nu}|\Omega|k\sum_{i=1}^{n-N_0^1}\frac{1}{\alpha^i} \\ &= (1+\nu k)^{-(n-N_0^1)}\,|v^{N_0^1}|^2 + \frac{4}{\nu^2}|\Omega|\left[1-(1+\nu k)^{-(n-N_0^1)}\right], \\ &\le (1+\nu k)^{-(n-N_0^1)}\left[R^2+\frac{4}{\nu^2}(|\Omega|+2R^2)\right] + \frac{4}{\nu^2}|\Omega| \\ &\qquad \text{(by (3.29) and (3.26)),} \end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$

and using assumption (3.43) on k and the fact that $1+x \geq \exp(x/2)$ if $x \in (0,1)$, we obtain that there exists $N_0^2(R,k)$,

$$N_0^2(R,k) := \frac{2}{\nu k} \ln \frac{\nu^2 \left[R^2 + \frac{4}{\nu^2}(|\Omega| + 2R^2)\right]}{|\Omega|}, \tag{3.48}$$

such that $|v^n| \leq \sqrt{5}|\Omega|^{1/2}/\nu$, $\forall n \geq N_0^1 + N_0^2 =: N_0(R,k)$.

We, therefore, have that $\{v^n, \theta^n\} \in B_H(0,\rho_0)$, for all $n \geq N_0(R,k)$, which completes the proof of the corollary. □

4. V-UNIFORM BOUNDEDNESS OF v^n AND θ^n

We now seek to obtain uniform bounds for v^n and θ^n in V, similar to those we have already obtained in H (see (3.30) and (3.8) above). In order to do this, we first derive bounds for v^n valid on any finite interval of time (see Proposition 4.1 below), and then we repeatedly use them on successive intervals of time together with (a discrete uniform Gronwall) Lemma 4.4 to arrive at the desired uniform bounds. Once we have obtained the V-uniform bounds on v^n, we can use those, together with a new version of the discrete uniform Gronwall lemma, to derive the V-uniform boundedness of θ^n.

4.1. H^1-Uniform Boundedness of v^n.

Lemma 4.1. *For every $k > 0$, we have*

$$\|v^n\|^2 \leq K_2\|v^{n-1}\|^2 + \frac{4}{\nu^2}M_1^2, \ \forall n \geq 1, \tag{4.1}$$

where $K_2 = 2(1 + 2c_b^2K_1^2/\nu^2)$.

Proof. Replacing v by $2k(v^n - v^{n-1})$ in (2.46), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2|v^n - v^{n-1}|^2 + \nu k\|v^n\|^2 - \nu k\|v^{n-1}\|^2 + \nu k\|v^n - v^{n-1}\|^2 \\ + 2k\, b_1(v^n, v^n, v^n - v^{n-1}) = 2k\,(e_2\theta^n, v^n - v^{n-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Using properties (2.34), (2.35) and (2.31) of the trilinear form b_1 and recalling (3.30), we bound the nonlinear term as

$$\begin{aligned} 2kb_1(v^n, v^n, v^n - v^{n-1}) &= 2kb_1(v^n, v^{n-1}, v^n) \quad \text{(by (2.34), (2.35))} \\ &\leq 2c_bk|v^n|\|v^n\|\|v^{n-1}\| \quad \text{(by (2.31))} \\ &\leq \frac{\nu}{2}k\|v^n\|^2 + \frac{2c_b^2}{\nu}K_1^2k\|v^{n-1}\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

We bound the right-hand side of (4.2) using Cauchy–Schwarz' inequality, (2.24) and (3.8):

$$
\begin{aligned}
2k(e_2\theta^n, v^n - v^{n-1}) &\le 2k|\theta^n||v^n - v^{n-1}| \\
&\le k|\theta^n|\|v^n - v^{n-1}\| \\
&\le \frac{\nu}{2}k\|v^n - v^{n-1}\|^2 + \frac{2}{\nu}kM_1^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}
$$

Gathering relations (4.2) through (4.4), we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
2|v^n - v^{n-1}|^2 + \frac{\nu}{2}k\|v^n\|^2 - \Big(\nu + \frac{2c_b^2}{\nu}K_1^2\Big)k\|v^{n-1}\|^2 \\
+ \frac{\nu}{2}k\,\|v^n - v^{n-1}\|^2 \le \frac{2}{\nu}kM_1^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}
$$

We thus obtain

$$
\|v^n\|^2 \le K_2\|v^{n-1}\|^2 + \frac{4}{\nu^2}M_1^2, \tag{4.6}
$$

which is exactly conclusion (4.1) of the lemma. □

Lemma 4.2. *For every $k > 0$, we have*

$$
c_1K_1^2k\|v^n\|^4 - \|v^n\|^2 + \|v^{n-1}\|^2 + \frac{2}{\nu}kM_1^2 \ge 0,\ \forall n \ge 1, \tag{4.7}
$$

where $c_1 = 27c_b^4/(2\nu^3)$.

Proof. Replacing v by $2kA_1v^n$ in (2.46), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|v^n\|^2 - \|v^{n-1}\|^2 + \|v^n - v^{n-1}\|^2 + 2kb_1(v^n, v^n, A_1v^n) \\
+ 2\nu k|A_1v^n|^2 = 2k(e_2\theta^n, A_1v^n).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}
$$

Using property (2.32) of the trilinear form b_1 and recalling (3.30), we have the following bound of the nonlinear term,

$$
\begin{aligned}
2kb_1(v^n, v^n, A_1v^n) &\le 2\,c_b\,k\,|v^n|^{1/2}\|v^n\||A_1v^n|^{3/2} \\
&\le \frac{\nu}{2}k|A_1v^n|^2 + \frac{27c_b^4}{2\nu^3}K_1^2k\|v^n\|^4.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}
$$

Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and recalling (3.8), we bound the right-hand side of (4.8) by

$$
\begin{aligned}
2k(e_2\theta^n, A_1v^n) &\le 2k|\theta^n||A_1v^n| \\
&\le \frac{\nu}{2}k|A_1v^n|^2 + \frac{2}{\nu}kM_1^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}
$$

Relations (4.8)–(4.10) imply

$$\begin{aligned}\|v^n\|^2 - \|v^{n-1}\|^2 + \|v^n - v^{n-1}\|^2 + \nu k|A_1 v^n|^2 \\ \le \frac{27c_b^4}{2\nu^3} K_1^2 k \|v^n\|^4 + \frac{2}{\nu} k M_1^2,\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

from which we obtain conclusion (4.7) of Lemma 4.2. □

In what follows, we will make use of the following discrete Gronwall lemma, whose proof can be found in [14], [20].

Lemma 4.3. *Given $k > 0$, an integer $n_* > 0$ and positive sequences ξ_n, η_n and ζ_n such that*

$$\xi_n \le \xi_{n-1}(1 + k\eta_{n-1}) + k\zeta_n, \qquad for\, n = 1, \cdots, n_*, \tag{4.12}$$

we have, for any $n \in \{2, \cdots, n_*\}$,

$$\xi_n \le \xi_0 \exp\left(k \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \eta_i\right) + k \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \zeta_i \exp\left(k \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} \eta_j\right) + k\zeta_n\,. \tag{4.13}$$

Proposition 4.1 (Estimates on a finite interval)**.** *Let $T > 0$ be fixed and let $K_3(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot)$ be the function, monotonically increasing in all its arguments, given in (4.29) below. If the timestep k is such that*

$$k \le \min\{\kappa_1, \kappa_2(|v_0|, |\theta_0|), \kappa_3(\|v^0\|, |\theta_0|, T)\}, \tag{4.14}$$

where κ_1 is given by (3.43),

$$\kappa_2(|v_0|, |\theta_0|) = \frac{\nu^2}{40 c_1 K_1^2 M_1^2}, \tag{4.15}$$

$$\kappa_3(\|v^0\|, |\theta_0|, T) = \frac{1}{10 c_1 K_1^2 K_2 K_3^2(\|v^0\|, |\theta_0|, T)}, \tag{4.16}$$

then

(i)

$$\|v^n\| \le K_3\big(\|v^0\|, |\theta_0|, nk\big),\ \forall\, n = 0, \cdots, N := \lfloor T/k \rfloor,$$

(ii)

$$c_1 K_1^2 k \left(K_2 \|v^n\|^2 + + \frac{4}{\nu^2} M_1^2\right) \le \frac{1}{5},\ \forall\, n = 0, \cdots, N := \lfloor T/k \rfloor,$$

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned}\|v^n\|^2 \le \|v^{n-1}\|^2 \left[1 + 2c_1 K_1^2 k \left(\|v^{n-1}\|^2 + \frac{2}{\nu} k M_1^2\right)\right] + \frac{14}{5\nu} k M_1^2, \\ \forall\, n = 1, \cdots, N := \lfloor T/k \rfloor.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We will use induction on n. The induction consists in showing that (i) holds at $n = 0$, and then showing that if (i) holds for $n \leq m-1$, then (i) holds for $n = m$. If $n = 0$, one can easily see using the definition (4.29) of K_3 that (i) is true. Now assume that (i) holds for $n \leq m-1$. Then, by (4.14), we have that (ii) is true for $n \leq m-1$ and

$$\Delta_n = 1 - 4c_1K_1^2k\left(\|v^n\|^2 + \frac{2}{\nu}kM_1^2\right) > 0, \ \forall\, n \leq m-1. \tag{4.17}$$

From (4.7), we obtain that either

$$\|v^{n+1}\|^2 \leq \frac{1-\sqrt{\Delta_n}}{2c_1K_1^2k}, \tag{4.18}$$

or

$$\|v^{n+1}\|^2 \geq \frac{1+\sqrt{\Delta_n}}{2c_1K_1^2k}. \tag{4.19}$$

The second alternative is not possible, as it implies, with (4.1), (i) at order n and (4.14):

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \leq 1 + \sqrt{\Delta_n} &\leq 2c_1K_1^2k\|v^{n+1}\|^2 \\ &\leq 2c_1K_1^2k\left(K_2\|v^n\|^2 + \frac{4}{\nu^2}M_1^2\right) \leq \frac{2}{5}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Then the first alternative holds and, thus,

$$2c_1K_1^2k\|v^{n+1}\|^2 \leq 1 - \sqrt{1-x}, \tag{4.21}$$

with

$$x = 4c_1K_1^2k\left(\|v^n\|^2 + \frac{2}{\nu}kM_1^2\right).$$

Since

$$1-\sqrt{1-x} = \frac{x}{1+\sqrt{1-x}} \leq \frac{x}{2}\left(1+\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad 0 \leq x \leq \frac{4}{5},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2c_1K_1^2k\|v^{n+1}\|^2 \leq 2c_1K_1^2k&\left(\|v^n\|^2 + \frac{2}{\nu}kM_1^2\right) \\ &\cdot\left[1 + 2c_1K_1^2k\left(\|v^n\|^2 + \frac{2}{\nu}kM_1^2\right)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

which implies, together with (ii) at order n:

$$\|v^{n+1}\|^2 \leq \|v^n\|^2\left[1 + 2c_1K_1^2k\left(\|v^n\|^2 + \frac{2}{\nu}kM_1^2\right)\right] + \frac{14}{5\nu}kM_1^2, \tag{4.23}$$

which is exactly (iii) at order $n+1$. We rewrite (iii) in the form

$$\xi_n \leq \xi_{n-1}(1+k\eta_{n-1}) + k\zeta, \tag{4.24}$$

with

$$\xi_n = \|v^n\|^2, \quad \eta_n = 2c_1K_1^2\left(\|v^n\|^2 + \frac{2}{\nu}kM_1^2\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta = \frac{14}{5\nu}M_1^2, \tag{4.25}$$

and we have that (4.24) holds for $n = 1, \cdots, m$. In order to prove that (i) holds for $n = m$, we use the (discrete Gronwall) Lemma 4.3 and we compute the following. If $i > 0$, using (3.31), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=i}^{m-1} k\eta_j &= 2c_1K_1^2k\sum_{j=i}^{m-1}\left(\|v^j\|^2 + \frac{2}{\nu}kM_1^2\right)\\ &\leq \frac{2}{\nu}c_1K_1^2\left[K_1^2 + \frac{3}{\nu}M_1^2(m-i)k\right].\end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

Similarly, if $i = 0$, using again (3.31) and recalling (4.14) and (4.16), we find

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} k\eta_j &= 2c_1K_1^2k\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\left(\|v^j\|^2 + \frac{2}{\nu}kM_1^2\right)\\ &\leq \frac{1}{5} + \frac{2}{\nu}c_1K_1^2\left(K_1^2 + \frac{3}{\nu}M_1^2mk\right).\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

Using (4.26) we also have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} k\zeta\,\exp\!\left(\sum_{j=i}^{m-1} k\eta_j\right) \leq \frac{14}{5\nu}M_1^2\exp\left\{\frac{2}{\nu}c_1K_1^2\left(K_1^2 + \frac{3}{\nu}M_1^2mk\right)\right\}mk. \tag{4.28}$$

By (4.13), (4.27), and (4.28) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|v^m\|^2 &\leq \left(\|v^0\|^2 + \frac{28}{5\nu}M_1^2mk\right)\exp\left\{\frac{1}{5} + \frac{2}{\nu}c_1K_1^2\left(K_1^2 + \frac{3}{\nu}M_1^2mk\right)\right\}\\ &=: K_3^2(\|v^0\|, |\theta_0|, mk),\end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

which is exactly (i) for $n = m$.

We note that the dependence of K_3, κ_3 and κ_2 on $|v_0|$ and $|\theta_0|$ is through K_1 and M_1, but those quantities bound all $|v^n|$ and $|\theta^n|$, respectively.

This completes the proof of the proposition. □

We now want to extend Proposition 4.1 to obtain uniform bounds for $\|v^n\|$, for all $n \geq 0$. In order to do so, we will repeatedly apply Proposition 4.1 on finite intervals of time, considering different initial values. Since the bound K_3 obtained on each interval is an increasing function in the corresponding initial value considered (see (4.29)), bounding uniformly all initial values will provide a uniform bound for all $\|v^n\|$, $n \geq 0$. To do so, we need the following (discrete uniform Gronwall) lemma, whose proof can be found in [20] (see also [14]).

Lemma 4.4. *We are given $k > 0$, positive integers n_1, n_2, n_* such that $n_1 < n_*$, $n_1 + n_2 + 1 \leq n_*$, and positive sequences ξ_n, η_n, ζ_n such that*

$$\xi_n \leq \xi_{n-1}(1 + k\eta_{n-1}) + k\zeta_n, \qquad \text{for } n = n_1, \cdots, n_*. \tag{4.30}$$

Assume also that

$$\begin{aligned} &k \sum_{n=n'}^{n'+n_2} \eta_n \leq a_1(n_1, n_*), \qquad && k \sum_{n=n'}^{n'+n_2} \zeta_n \leq a_2(n_1, n_*), \\ &k \sum_{n=n'}^{n'+n_2} \xi_n \leq a_3(n_1, n_*), \end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

for any n' satisfying $n_1 \leq n' \leq n_* - n_2$. We then have,

$$\xi_n \leq \Big(\frac{a_3(n_1, n_*)}{k n_2} + a_2(n_1, n_*) \Big) \mathrm{e}^{a_1(n_1, n_*)}, \tag{4.32}$$

for any n such that $n_1 + n_2 + 1 \leq n \leq n_*$.

We are now in a position to give the main result of this section, that is, to derive a uniform bound for $\|v^n\|$, for all $n \geq 1$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $v_0 \in V_1$, $\theta_0 \in H_2$, and $\{v^n, \theta^n\}$ be the solution of the numerical scheme (2.46)–(2.47). Also, let $r \geq 4\,\kappa_1$ be arbitrarily fixed and let k be such that*

$$\begin{aligned} k \leq \min \big\{ &\kappa_1, \kappa_2(|v_0|, |\theta_0|), \kappa_3(\|v_0\|, |\theta_0|, T_0 + r), \kappa_3(\rho_1(r), |\theta_0|, r) \big\} \\ &:= \kappa_4(\|v_0\|, |\theta_0|), \end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

where κ_1 is given by (3.43), $\kappa_2(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $\kappa_3(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot)$ are given in Proposition 4.1, T_0 is the time of entering the absorbing ball in H for $\{v^n, \theta^n\}$ and ρ_1 is given in (4.37) below. Then we have

$$\|v^n\| \leq K_4(\|v_0\|, |\theta_0|), \quad \forall\, n \geq 1, \tag{4.34}$$

where $K_4(\cdot,\cdot)$ is a continuous function defined on $\mathbb{R}_+^2$, increasing in both arguments.

Proof. In order to derive uniform bounds for $\|v^n\|$, for all $n \geq 1$, we apply Proposition 4.1 on successive intervals of time, with different initial values. On each interval considered, we obtain a bound K_3 that depends on the norm of the initial value (see (4.29)). Using (the discrete uniform Gronwall) Lemma 4.4, we majorize the norm of each initial value by a constant ρ_1 (see (4.37) below) and recalling the fact that K_3 is an increasing function of its arguments, we obtain a bound independent of the initial value considered.

We start by applying Proposition 4.1 on the interval $[0, T_0+r]$, where $T_0 := N_0 k$, with N_0 being given in Corollary 3.2. We obtain:

$$\|v^n\| \leq K_3\big(\|v^0\|, |\theta_0|, T_0 + r\big), \forall\, n = 0, \cdots, N_0 + N_r, \qquad N_r := \lfloor r/k \rfloor, \tag{4.35}$$

and

$$\|v^n\|^2 \leq \|v^{n-1}\|^2 \left[1 + 2c_1 K_1^2 k \left(\|v^{n-1}\|^2 + \frac{2}{\nu} k M_1^2\right)\right] + \frac{14}{5\nu} k M_1^2, \qquad \forall\, n = 1, \cdots, N_0 + N_r. \tag{4.36}$$

Rewriting (4.36) in the form

$$\xi_n \leq \xi_{n-1}(1 + k\eta_{n-1}) + k\zeta,$$

with $\xi_n = \|v^n\|^2$, $\eta_n = 2c_1 K_1^2 \left(\|v^n\|^2 + \frac{2}{\nu} k M_1^2\right)$, $\zeta_n = \frac{14}{5\nu} M_1^2$, we apply Lemma 4.4 with $n_1 = N_0 + 1$, $n_2 = N_r - 2$, and $n_* = N_0 + N_r$. For $n' = N_0 + 1, N_0 + 2$, we compute, using (3.31) and (4.33) and recalling that, by Corollary 3.2, the bounds K_1 and M_1 on $|v^n|$ and $|\theta^n|$, respectively, can be replaced by ρ_0 for $n \geq N_0$:

$$\begin{aligned} k \sum_{n=n'}^{n'+n_2} \eta_n &= 2c_1 \rho_0^2 k \sum_{n=n'}^{n'+n_2} \left(\|v^n\|^2 + \frac{2}{\nu} k \rho_0^2\right) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\nu} c_1 \rho_0^2 \left[\rho_0^2 + \frac{3}{\nu} \rho_0^2 r\right], \end{aligned}$$

$$k \sum_{n=n'}^{n'+n_2} \zeta_n = k \sum_{n=n'}^{n'+n_2} \frac{14}{5\nu} \rho_0^2 \leq \frac{14}{5\nu} \rho_0^2 r,$$

$$k \sum_{n=n'}^{n'+n_2} \xi_n = k \sum_{n=n'}^{n'+n_2} \|v^n\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\nu} \left(\rho_0^2 + \frac{1}{\nu} \rho_0^2 r\right).$$

Then Lemma 4.4 implies:

$$\begin{aligned}\|v^{N_0+N_r}\|^2 &\le \rho_0^2\left[\frac{2}{\nu}\left(\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{\nu}\right)+\frac{14}{5\nu}r\right]\\ &\qquad \exp\left\{\frac{2}{\nu}c_1\rho_0^4\left(1+\frac{3}{\nu}r\right)\right\}\\ &=: \rho_1(r)^2\,.\end{aligned}\tag{4.37}$$

Using the above bound and recalling assumption (4.33) on k and the fact that $\kappa_3(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot)$ is a decreasing function of its arguments, we notice that k satisfies the constraint (4.14) in Proposition 4.1. We can, therefore, apply Proposition 4.1 on the interval $[T_0+r, T_0+2r]$ with the initial data $\{v^{N_0+N_r}, \theta^{N_0+N_r}\}$, to obtain that relation (iii) holds for all $n = N_0+N_r+1,\cdots,N_0+2N_r$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\|v^n\| \le K_3\big(\|v^{N_0+N_r}\|, |\theta_0|, r\big) \le K_3(\rho_1(r), |\theta_0|, r),\\ \forall\, n = N_0+N_r+1,\cdots,N_0+2N_r.\end{aligned}\tag{4.38}$$

Using again Lemma 4.4 with $n_1 = N_0+N_r+1, n_2 = N_r-2$ and $n_* = N_0+2N_r$, we obtain

$$\|v^{N_0+2N_r}\|^2 \le \rho_1(r)^2.\tag{4.39}$$

Iterating the above procedure, we find that $\|v^{N_0+iN_r}\| \le \rho_1(r)$, for all $i = 1,2,\cdots$, and

$$\|v^n\| \le K_3(\rho_1(r), |\theta_0|, r),\ \forall n \ge N_0+N_r.\tag{4.40}$$

Finally, recalling (4.35), which gives a bound for $0 \le n \le N_0+N_r$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|v^n\| &\le \max\{K_3\big(\|v^0\|, |\theta_0|, T_0+r\big), K_3(\rho_1(r), |\theta_0|, r)\}\\ &=: K_4\big(\|v_0\|, |\theta_0|\big),\ \forall n \ge 1.\end{aligned}\tag{4.41}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. ☐

Corollary 4.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.1, we also have*

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=i}^{m}\|v^n - v^{n-1}\|^2 \le& K_4^2 + \frac{27c_b^4}{2\nu^3}K_1^2K_4^4k(m-i+1)\\ &+\frac{2}{\nu}M_1^2k(m-i+1),\quad \forall\, i = 1,\cdots,m.\end{aligned}\tag{4.42}$$

Proof. Taking the sum of (4.11) with n from i to m and using (4.34) gives conclusion (4.42) of the corollary right away. ☐

4.2. **H^1-Uniform Boundedness of θ^n.** We are now going to prove the H^1-uniform boundedness of θ^n, for all $n \geq 0$. In order to do so, we will first use the discrete Gronwall lemma to derive an upper bound on $\|\theta^n\|$, $n \leq N$, for some $N > 0$, and then we will use another version of the discrete uniform Gronwall lemma (see Lemma 4.6 below) to obtain an upper bound on $\|\theta^n\|$, $n \geq N$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\{v_0, \theta_0\} \in V$ and $\{v^n, \theta^n\}$ be the solution of the numerical scheme* (2.46)–(2.47)*. Also, let $T > 0$ be arbitrarily fixed and k be such that*

$$k \leq \min\left\{\kappa_4(\|v_0\|, |\theta_0|), \kappa_5(\|v_0\|, |\theta_0|)\right\}, \tag{4.43}$$

where $\kappa_4(\cdot,\cdot)$ is given in Theorem 4.1 and

$$\kappa_5(\|v_0\|, |\theta_0|) = \frac{1}{2c_2K_1^2K_4^2(\|v_0\|, |\theta_0|)}, \ \textit{with } c_2 = \frac{27c_b^4}{32\kappa^2}. \tag{4.44}$$

Then we have

$$\|\theta^n\|^2 \leq 4^{c_2K_1^2K_4^2T}\left(\|\theta^0\|^2 + \frac{2}{\kappa K_4^2}\right), \forall n = 1, \cdots, N := \lfloor T/k \rfloor. \tag{4.45}$$

Proof. Replacing θ by $2kA_2\theta^n$ in (2.47), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta^n\|^2 - \|\theta^{n-1}\|^2 + \|\theta^n - \theta^{n-1}\|^2 + 2kb_2(v^n, \theta^n, A_2\theta^n) \\ - 2k(v_2^n, A_2\theta^n) + 2\kappa k|A_2\theta^n|^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.46}$$

Using property (2.38) of the trilinear form b_2 and recalling (3.30) and (4.34), we have the following bound of the nonlinear term,

$$\begin{aligned} 2kb_2(v^n, \theta^n, A_2\theta^n) &\leq 2\,c_b\,k\,|v^n|^{1/2}\|v^n\|^{1/2}\|\theta^n\|^{1/2}|A_2\theta^n|^{3/2} \\ &\leq \frac{\kappa}{2}k|A_2\theta^n|^2 + c_2K_1^2K_4^2k\|\theta^n\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.47}$$

Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and recalling (3.30), we have the following bound

$$\begin{aligned} -2k(v_2^n, A_2\theta^n) &\leq 2k|v_2^n||A_2\theta^n| \\ &\leq \frac{\kappa}{2}k|A_2\theta^n|^2 + \frac{2}{\kappa}K_1^2k. \end{aligned} \tag{4.48}$$

Relations (4.46)–(4.48) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta^n\|^2 - \|\theta^{n-1}\|^2 + \|\theta^n - \theta^{n-1}\|^2 + \kappa k|A_2\theta^n|^2 \\ \leq c_2K_1^2K_4^2k\|\theta^n\|^2 + \frac{2}{\kappa}K_1^2k, \end{aligned} \tag{4.49}$$

from which we obtain

$$\|\theta^n\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}\|\theta^{n-1}\|^2 + \frac{2}{\kappa\alpha}K_1^2k, \tag{4.50}$$

where

$$\alpha = 1 - c_2 K_1^2 K_4^2 k. \tag{4.51}$$

Using recursively (4.50), we find

$$\|\theta^n\|^2 \le (1 - c_2 K_1^2 K_4^2 k)^{-n} \left(\|\theta^0\|^2 + \frac{2}{\kappa K_4^2} \right). \tag{4.52}$$

Since

$$1 - x \ge 4^{-x}, \quad 0 < x \le \frac{1}{2},$$

and, by hypothesis, $c_2 K_1^2 K_4^2 k \le 1/2$, conclusion (4.45) follows immediately. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.5. □

In order to derive an upper bound on $\|\theta^n\|$, $n \ge N$, we will need the following version of the discrete uniform Gronwall lemma, slightly different from Lemma 4.4:

Lemma 4.6. *We are given $k > 0$, positive integers n_0, n_1 and positive sequences ξ_n, η_n, ζ_n such that*

$$k\eta_n < \frac{1}{2}, \qquad \text{for } n \ge n_0, \tag{4.53}$$

$$(1 - k\eta_n)\xi_n \le \xi_{n-1} + k\zeta_n, \qquad \text{for } n \ge n_0. \tag{4.54}$$

Assume also that

$$\begin{aligned} &k \sum_{n=k_0}^{k_0+n_1} \eta_n \le a_1(n_0, n_1), \qquad && k \sum_{n=k_0}^{k_0+n_1} \zeta_n \le a_2(n_0, n_1), \\ &k \sum_{n=k_0}^{k_0+n_1} \xi_n \le a_3(n_0, n_1), && \end{aligned} \tag{4.55}$$

for any $k_0 \ge n_0$. We then have,

$$\xi_n \le \Big(\frac{a_3(n_0, n_1)}{k n_1} + a_2(n_0, n_1) \Big) \mathrm{e}^{4 a_1(n_0, n_1)}, \tag{4.56}$$

for any $n \ge n_0 + n_1$.

Proof. Let n_3 and n_4 be such that $n_0 \le n_2 < n_3 \le n_2 + n_1$. Using recursively (4.54), we derive

$$\xi_{n_2+n_1} \le \frac{1}{\prod_{n=n_3}^{n_2+n_1}(1 - k\eta_n)} \xi_{n_3-1} + + k \sum_{n=n_3}^{n_2+n_1} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=n}^{n_2+n_1}(1 - k\eta_j)} \zeta_n. \tag{4.57}$$

Using the fact that $1-x \geq \mathrm{e}^{-4x}$, $\forall x \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, and recalling assumptions $(4.55)_1$ and $(4.55)_2$, we obtain

$$\xi_{n_2+n_3} \leq (\xi_{n_3-1} + a_2)e^{-4a_1}.$$

Multiplying this inequality by k, summing n_3 from n_2+1 to n_2+n_1 and using assumption $(4.55)_3$ gives the conclusion (4.56) of the lemma. □

We are now able to derive an upper bound on $\|\theta^n\|$, $n \geq N$. More precisely, we have the following:

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\{v_0, \theta_0\} \in V$ and $\{v^n, \theta^n\}$ be the solution of the numerical scheme* (2.46)–(2.47)*. Also, let $T > 0$ be arbitrarily fixed and k be such that*

$$k \leq \min\left\{\kappa_4(\|v_0\|, |\theta_0|), \kappa_5(\|v_0\|, |\theta_0|), \frac{T}{2}\right\}, \tag{4.58}$$

where $\kappa_4(\cdot,\cdot)$ is given in Theorem 4.1 and $\kappa_5(\cdot,\cdot)$ is given in Lemma 4.5. Then there exists $M_2 = M_2(\|v_0\|, |\theta_0|, T)$, given in (4.61) *below, such that*

$$\|\theta^n\| \leq M_2(\|v_0\|, |\theta_0|, T),\ \forall n \geq N := \lfloor T/k \rfloor. \tag{4.59}$$

Proof. We apply Lemma 4.6 to (4.49), which we rewrite as

$$\begin{aligned}(1 - c_2K_1^2K_4^2k)\|\theta^n\|^2 - \|\theta^{n-1}\|^2 + \|\theta^n - \theta^{n-1}\|^2 + \kappa k|A_2\theta^n|^2 \\ \leq \frac{2}{\kappa}K_1^2k.\end{aligned} \tag{4.60}$$

We set $\xi_n = \|\theta^n\|^2$, $\eta_n = c_2K_1^2K_4^2$, $\zeta_n = \frac{2}{\kappa}K_1^2$, $n_0 = 1$, $n_1 = N-1$ and for $k_0 \geq 1$ we compute:

$$k\sum_{n=k_0}^{k_0+n_1}\eta_n = k\sum_{n=k_0}^{k_0+n_1}c_2K_1^2K_4^2 \leq c_2K_1^2K_4^2T,$$

$$k\sum_{n=k_0}^{k_0+n_1}\zeta_n = k\sum_{n=k_0}^{k_0+n_1}\frac{2}{\kappa}K_1^2 \leq \frac{2}{\kappa}K_1^2T,$$

$$k\sum_{n=k_0}^{k_0+n_1}\xi_n = k\sum_{n=k_0}^{k_0+n_1}\|\theta^n\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\kappa}\left(M_1^2 + \frac{K_1^2}{\kappa}T\right) \text{ (by (3.32))}.$$

Then Lemma 4.6 implies

$$\begin{aligned}\|\theta^n\|^2 &\leq \frac{2}{\kappa}\left(\frac{M_1^2}{T} + \frac{K_1^2}{\kappa} + K_1^2T\right)e^{4c_2K_1^2K_4^2T} \\ &:= M_2^2(\|v_0\|, |\theta_0|, T),\ \forall n \geq N.\end{aligned} \tag{4.61}$$

Thus, the lemma is proved. □

Combining Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.7, we obtain that θ^n are uniformly bounded in V, for all $n \geq 0$. More precisely, we have

Theorem 4.2. *Let* $\{v_0, \theta_0\} \in V$ *and* $\{v^n, \theta^n\}$ *be the solution of the numerical scheme* (2.46)–(2.47)*. Also, let* $T > 0$ *be arbitrarily fixed and* k *be such that*

$$k \leq \min\left\{\kappa_4(\|v_0\|, |\theta_0|), \kappa_5(\|v_0\|, |\theta_0|), \frac{T}{2}\right\} =: \kappa_6(\|v_0\|, |\theta_0|), \tag{4.62}$$

where $\kappa_4(\cdot,\cdot)$ *is given in Theorem 4.1 and* $\kappa_5(\cdot,\cdot)$ *is given in Lemma 4.5. Then there exists* $M_3 = M_3(\|v_0\|, \|\theta_0\|)$*, such that*

$$\|\theta^n\| \leq M_3(\|v_0\|, \|\theta_0\|), \forall n \geq 0. \tag{4.63}$$

Proof. Taking

$$M_3(\|v_0\|, \|\theta_0\|) = \max\left\{4^{c_2 K_1^2 K_4^2 T}\left(\|\theta_0\|^2 + \frac{2}{\kappa K_4^2}\right), M_2(\|v_0\|, |\theta_0|, T)\right\},$$

Lemmas 4.5 and 4.7 give conclusion (4.63) of the theorem. □

Corollary 4.2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.2, we also have*

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=i}^{m} \|\theta^n - \theta^{n-1}\|^2 \leq & M_3^2 + c_2 K_1^2 K_4^2 M_3^2 k(m-n+1) \\ & + \frac{2}{\kappa} K_1^2 k(m-n+1), \quad \forall\, i = 1, \cdots, m.\end{aligned} \tag{4.64}$$

Proof. Taking the sum of (4.49) with n from i to m and using (4.63) gives conclusion (4.64) of the corollary right away. □

Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 can be combined to obtain the following

Theorem 4.3. *Let* $\{v_0, \theta_0\} \in V$ *and* $\{v^n, \theta^n\}$ *be the solution of the numerical scheme* (2.46)–(2.47)*. Then there exists a decreasing positive function* $\kappa_7(\cdot)$ *and an increasing positive function* $K_5(\cdot)$*, such that if*

$$k \leq \kappa_7(\|\{v_0, \theta_0\}\|), \tag{4.65}$$

then

$$\|\{v^n, \theta^n\}\| \leq K_5(\|\{v_0, \theta_0\}\|), \forall n \geq 0. \tag{4.66}$$

5. Convergence of Attractors

In this section we address the issue of the convergence of the attractors generated by the discrete system (2.45)–(2.47) to the attractor generated by the continuous system (2.11)–(2.18). Whereas for the continuous system (2.11)–(2.18) one can prove both the existence and uniqueness of the solution (see, e.g., [15])–and, therefore, define a global attractor–, for the discrete system (2.45)–(2.47) one can prove (using Theorem 4.3) the uniqueness of the solution provided that $k \leq \kappa(\|u_0\|)$, for some $\kappa(\|u_0\|) > 0$. Since the time restriction depends on the initial data, one cannot define a single-valued attractor in the classical sense, and this is why we need to use the attractor theory for the so-called multi-valued mappings. Multi-valued dynamical systems have been investigated by many authors (see, e.g., [1], [2], [4], [11], [12], [13]), but in this article we use the tools developed in [5] to study the convergence of the discrete (multi-valued) attractors to the continuous (single-valued) attractor. For convenience, we recall those results in Subsection 5.1, and then we apply them to the thermohydraulics equations in Subsection 5.2.

5.1. Attractors for multi-valued mappings.

Throughout this subsection, we consider $(H, |\cdot|)$ to be a Hilbert space and $\mathbb{T}$ to be either $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$ or $\mathbb{N}$.

Definition 5.1. *A one-parameter family of set-valued maps* $S(t) : 2^H \to 2^H$ *is a* ***multi-valued semigroup*** *(m-semigroup) if it satisfies the following properties:*

- (S.1) $S(0) = I_{2^H}$ *(identity in* 2^H*);*
- (S.2) $S(t+s) = S(t)S(s)$*, for all* $t, s \in \mathbb{T}$*.*

Moreover, the m-semigroup is said to be ***closed*** *if* $S(t)$ *is a closed map for every* $t \in \mathbb{T}$*, meaning that if* $x_n \to x$ *in* H *and* $y_n \in S(t)x_n$ *is such that* $y_n \to y$ *in* H*, then* $y \in S(t)x$*. (To simplify the notation, hereafter we have written* $S(t)x$ *in place of* $S(t)\{x\}$*.)*

Definition 5.2. *The* ***positive orbit*** *of* $\mathcal{B}$*, starting at* $t \in \mathbb{T}$*, is the set*

$$\gamma_t(\mathcal{B}) = \bigcup_{\tau \geq t} S(\tau)\mathcal{B},$$

where

$$S(t)\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{B}} S(t)x.$$

Definition 5.3. *For any $\mathcal{B} \in 2^H$, the set*

$$\omega(\mathcal{B}) = \bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \overline{\gamma_t(\mathcal{B})}$$

is called the ω***-limit set*** *of* $\mathcal{B}$.

Definition 5.4. *A nonempty set $\mathcal{B} \in 2^H$ is* ***invariant*** *for $S(t)$ if*

$$S(t)\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}, \qquad \forall t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Definition 5.5. *A set $\mathcal{B}_0 \in 2^H$ is an* ***absorbing set*** *for the m-semigroup $S(t)$ if for every bounded set $\mathcal{B} \in 2^H$ there exists $t_{\mathcal{B}} \in \mathbb{T}$ such that*

$$S(t)\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{B}_0, \qquad \forall t \geq t_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

Definition 5.6. *A nonempty set $\mathcal{C} \in 2^H$ is* ***attracting*** *if for every bounded set $\mathcal{B}$ we have*

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \operatorname{dist}(S(t)\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) = 0,$$

where $\operatorname{dist}(\cdot, \cdot)$ *is the* ***Hausdorff semidistance****, defined as*

$$\operatorname{dist}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) = \sup_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \inf_{c \in \mathcal{C}} |b - c|, \forall\, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \subset H. \tag{5.1}$$

Definition 5.7. *A nonempty compact set $\mathcal{A} \in 2^X$ is said to be the* ***global attractor*** *of $S(t)$ if $\mathcal{A}$ is an invariant attracting set.*

Remark 5.1. *The global attractor, if it exists, is necessarily unique. Moreover, it enjoys the following maximality and minimality properties:*

(i) *if $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is a bounded invariant set, then $\mathcal{A} \supset \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$;*
(ii) *if $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is a closed attracting set, then $\mathcal{A} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$.*

Definition 5.8. *Given a bounded set $\mathcal{B} \in 2^H$, the* ***Kuratowski measure of noncompactness*** $\alpha(\mathcal{B})$ *of $\mathcal{B}$ is defined as*

$$\alpha(\mathcal{B}) = \inf \big\{ \delta : \mathcal{B} \textit{ has a finite cover by balls of } X \textit{ of diameter less than } \delta \big\}.$$

We note that $\alpha(\mathcal{B}) = 0$ if and only if $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ is compact.

The following theorem, whose proof can be found in [5], gives conditions under which a global attractor exists.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose that the closed m-semigroup $S(t)$ possesses a bounded absorbing set $\mathcal{B}_0 \in 2^H$ and*

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \alpha(S(t)\mathcal{B}_0) = 0. \tag{5.2}$$

Then $\omega(\mathcal{B}_0)$ is the global attractor of $S(t)$.

For the purpose of this article, we need to introduce the notion of *discrete m-semigroups.* More precisely, we have the following:

Definition 5.9. *Given a set-valued map* $S : 2^H \to 2^H$, *we define a* ***discrete m-semigroup*** *by*

$$S(n) = S^n, \qquad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and we will denote it by $\{S\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *(instead of* $\{S^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$*).*

Remark 5.2. *Given two nonempty sets* $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \in 2^H$, *we write*

$$\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{C} = \{b - c : \, b \in \mathcal{B}, \, c \in \mathcal{C}\} \qquad \textit{and} \qquad |\mathcal{B}| = \sup_{b\in\mathcal{B}} |b|.$$

In order to prove the convergence of the attractors generated by the discrete system (2.45)–(2.47) to the attractor generated by the continuous system (2.11)–(2.18) we will use the following result, whose proof can be found in [5]; see also [21], [19].

Theorem 5.2. *Let* $S(t)$ *be a closed m-semigroup, possessing the global attractor* $\mathcal{A}$, *and for* $\kappa_0 > 0$, *let* $\{S_k, \, 0 < k \leq \kappa_0\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *be a family of discrete closed m-semigroups, with global attractor* $\mathcal{A}_k$. *Assume the following:*

(H1) *[Uniform boundedness]: there exists* $\kappa_1 \in (0, \kappa_0]$ *such that the set*

$$\mathcal{K} = \bigcup_{k\in(0,\kappa_1]} \mathcal{A}_k$$

is bounded in H;

(H2) *[Finite time uniform convergence]: there exists* $t_0 \geq 0$ *such that for any* $T^\star > t_0$,

$$\lim_{k\to 0} \sup_{x\in\mathcal{A}_k, \, nk\in[t_0,T^\star]} |S_k^n x - S(nk)x| = 0.$$

Then

$$\lim_{k\to 0} \operatorname{dist}(\mathcal{A}_k, \mathcal{A}) = 0,$$

where dist *denotes the Hausdorff semidistance defined in* (5.1)*.*

5.2. **Application: The thermohydraulics equations.** The system (2.11)–(2.18) possesses a unique solution and thus generates a continuous single-valued dynamical system $S(t) : H \to H$, with global attractor $\mathcal{A}$, bounded in V (see, e.g., [15]). Using Theorem 4.3 one can prove that the discrete system (2.45)–(2.47) has a unique solution provided that $k \leq \kappa(\|u_0\|)$, for some $\kappa(\|u_0\|) > 0$. The dependence of the time step k on the initial data prevents us from defining a single-valued attractor in the classical sense, but this difficulty can be overcome by the theory of the multi-valued attractors. More precisely, in this article we will prove that there exists $\kappa_0 > 0$ such that if $0 < k \leq \kappa_0$, the system (2.45)–(2.47) generates a closed discrete m-semigroup $\{S_k\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, with global attractors $\mathcal{A}_k$, that will converge to $\mathcal{A}$ in the sense of Theorem 5.2.

In order to do that, we define, for $k > 0$, the multi-valued map $S_k : 2^H \to 2^H$ as follows: for every $\tilde{u} = \{\tilde{v}, \tilde{\theta}\} \in H$,

$$S_k\tilde{u} = \{u = \{v, \theta\} \in V : u \text{ solves (5.3)–(5.4) below with time-step } k\} :$$

$$(v, v') + \nu k((v, v')) + kb_1(v, v, v') - k(e_2\theta, v') = (\tilde{v}, v'),\ \forall v' \in V_1, \tag{5.3}$$

$$(\theta, \theta') + \kappa k((\theta, \theta')) + kb_2(v, \theta, \theta') - k(v_2, \theta') = (\tilde{\theta}, \theta'),\ \forall \theta' \in V_2. \tag{5.4}$$

We then have the following:

Theorem 5.3. *The multi-valued map* S_k *associated with the implicit Euler scheme* (2.45)–(2.47) *generates a closed discrete m-semigroup* $\{S_k\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.

Proof. Since conditions (S.1) and (S.2) are satisfied by definition, we just need to prove that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, S_k^n is a closed multi-valued map. For that, we let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrarily fixed and, as $j \to \infty$, we let $u_j^0 \to u^0$ in H, where $u_j^0 = \{v_j^0, \theta_j^0\}, u^0 = \{v^0, \theta^0\}$. Also let $u_j^n \in S_k^n u_j^0$ be such that $u_j^n \to u^n$ in H, where $u_j^n = \{v_j^n, \theta_j^n\}, u^n = \{v^n, \theta^n\}$. We need to show that $u^n \in S_k^n u^0$.

Indeed, since $u_j^n \in S_k^n u_j^0$, there exists a sequence $(u_j^0, u_j^1, \ldots, u_j^{n-1}, u_j^n)$, with $u_j^i \in S_k u_j^{i-1}$, such that

$$(v_j^i, v') + \nu k((v_j^i, v')) + kb_1(v_j^i, v_j^i, v') - k(e_2\theta_j^i, v') = (v_j^{i-1}, v'),\ \forall v' \in V_1, \tag{5.5}$$

$$(\theta_j^i, \theta') + \kappa k((\theta_j^i, \theta')) + kb_2(v_j^i, \theta_j^i, \theta') - k((v_j^i)_2, \theta') = (\theta_j^{i-1}, \theta'),\ \forall \theta' \in V_2. \tag{5.6}$$

The sequence u_j^0 being convergent in H, it is also bounded in H and thus there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\sup_j |u_j^0|^2 \leq M. \tag{5.7}$$

Then Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 imply that for every $i = 1, \ldots, n$, the sequences v_j^i and θ_j^i are bounded in V_1 and V_2, respectively. We therefore have that there exist subsequences still denoted v_j^i and θ_j^i, such that as

$j \to \infty$:

$$v_j^i \to v^i, \text{ strongly in } H_1 \text{ and weakly in } V_1, \tag{5.8}$$

$$\theta_j^i \to \theta^i, \text{ strongly in } H_2 \text{ and weakly in } V_2. \tag{5.9}$$

Now, passing to the limit in (5.5)–(5.6), we obtain

$$(v^i, v') + \nu k((v^i, v')) + kb_1(v^i, v^i, v') - k(e_2\theta^i, v') = (v^{i-1}, v'), \; \forall v' \in V_1, \tag{5.10}$$

$$(\theta^i, \theta') + \kappa k((\theta^i, \theta')) + kb_2(v^i, \theta^i, \theta') - k((v^i)_2, \theta') = (\theta^{i-1}, \theta'), \; \forall \theta' \in V_2. \tag{5.11}$$

We therefore obtain that $u^i \in S_k u^{i-1}$, for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and hence, $u^n \in S_k u^{n-1} \subset S_k^n u^0$. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

In order to prove the existence of the discrete global attractors, we first prove the existence of absorbing sets. More precisely, we have the following:

Proposition 5.1. *There exists $\kappa_8 > 0$, independent of $\{v_0, \theta_0\}, n, k$, such that if $k \in (0, \kappa_8]$ the following holds: there exists a constant $R_1 > 0$ such that for every $R \geq 0$ and $|\{v_0, \theta_0\}| \leq R$, there exists $N_1 = N_1(R, k) \geq 0$ such that*

$$\|S_k^n\{v_0, \theta_0\}\| \leq R_1, \qquad \forall n \geq N_1. \tag{5.12}$$

Hence, the set

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \{\{v, \theta\} \in V : \|\{v, \theta\}\| \leq R_1\}$$

is a V-bounded absorbing set for $\{S_k\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, for $k \in (0, \kappa_8]$.

Proof. Let κ_1 be as in Corollary 3.2 and let $k \leq \min\{1, \kappa_1\}$. Also, let $R \geq 0$ and $|\{v_0, \theta_0\}| \leq R$. Then, by Corollary 3.2, there exists $N_0 = N_0(R, k) \geq 0$ such that

$$|\{v^n, \theta^n\}| \leq \rho_0, \qquad \forall n \geq N_0. \tag{5.13}$$

Let $m := N_0 + \left\lfloor \frac{1}{k} \right\rfloor$. Then equations (3.31) and (3.32) imply

$$\nu k \sum_{j=N_0+1}^{m} \|v^j\|^2 \leq \rho_0^2 + \frac{1}{\nu}\rho_0^2(m - N_0)k, \tag{5.14}$$

$$\kappa k \sum_{j=N_0+1}^{m} \|\theta^j\|^2 \leq \rho_0^2 + \frac{1}{\kappa}\rho_0^2(m - N_0)k. \tag{5.15}$$

Adding the above relations we obtain

$$k\left(\sum_{j=N_0+1}^{m}(\nu\|v^j\|^2+\kappa\|\theta^j\|^2)\right)\leq \rho_0^2\left(2+\frac{1}{\nu}(m-N_0)k+\frac{1}{\kappa}(m-N_0)k\right). \tag{5.16}$$

Assuming that for every $j\in\{N_0+1,\cdots,m\}$

$$(\nu\|v^j\|^2+\kappa\|\theta^j\|^2)\geq \frac{\rho_0^2}{k(m-N_0)}\left(2+\frac{1}{\nu}(m-N_0)k+\frac{1}{\kappa}(m-N_0)k\right),$$

we obtain

$$k\left(\sum_{j=N_0+1}^{m}(\nu\|v^j\|^2+\kappa\|\theta^j\|^2)\right)\geq \rho_0^2\left(2+\frac{1}{\nu}(m-N_0)k+\frac{1}{\kappa}(m-N_0)k\right), \tag{5.17}$$

which contradicts (5.16). Hence there exists $l\in\{N_0+1,\cdots,m\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}(\nu\|v^l\|^2+\kappa\|\theta^l\|^2)&\leq \frac{\rho_0^2}{k(m-N_0)}\left(2+\frac{1}{\nu}(m-N_0)k+\frac{1}{\kappa}(m-N_0)k\right)\\&\leq 2\rho_0^2\left(2+\frac{1}{\nu}+\frac{1}{\kappa}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

We, therefore, have

$$\|\{v^l,\theta^l\}\|^2\leq 2\rho_0^2\left(2+\frac{1}{\nu}+\frac{1}{\kappa}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\nu}+\frac{1}{\kappa}\right)=:R_*^2. \tag{5.19}$$

Applying Theorem 4.3 with initial data $\{v^l,\theta^l\}$ we obtain that there exists $\kappa_7(\|\{v^l,\theta^l\}\|)$ and $K_5(\|\{v^l,\theta^l\}\|)$ such that if $k\leq\kappa_7(\|\{v^l,\theta^l\}\|)$, then

$$\|\{v^n,\theta^n\}\|\leq K_5(\|\{v^l,\theta^l\}\|),\forall n\geq l. \tag{5.20}$$

Recalling (5.19) and the fact that $\kappa_7(\cdot)$ and $K_5(\cdot)$ are, respectively, decreasing and increasing functions of their arguments, (5.20) yields

$$\|\{v^n,\theta^n\}\|\leq K_5(R_*)=:R_1,\forall n\geq N_1=N_1(R,k):=N_0+\left\lfloor\frac{1}{k}\right\rfloor, \tag{5.21}$$

provided that $k\leq\kappa_8$, where

$$\kappa_8=\min\{1,\kappa_1,\kappa_7(R_*)\}. \tag{5.22}$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 5.1. □

We are now in a position to prove the existence of the discrete global attractors. More precisely, we have the following:

Proposition 5.2. *For every $k \in (0, \kappa_8]$, there exists the global attractor $\mathcal{A}_k$ of the m-semigroup $\{S_k\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}_0 = B_H(0, \rho_0)$ be the bounded absorbing set given in Corollary 3.2. Then Proposition 5.1 implies that $S_k^n \mathcal{B}_0$ is bounded in V, for all $n \geq N_1(\rho_0, k)$. Since V is compactly embedded in H, we obtain that $S_k^n \mathcal{B}_0$ is relatively compact in H and, thus, $\alpha(S_k^n \mathcal{B}_0) = 0$, for all $n \geq N_1(\rho_0, k)$. Condition (5.2) of Theorem 5.1 is therefore satisfied and then the existence of the discrete global attractor $\mathcal{A}_k$ follows right away. □

Remark 5.3. *Since the global attractor $\mathcal{A}_k$ is the smallest closed attracting set of H, Proposition 5.1 implies*

$$\mathcal{A}_k \subset \mathcal{B}_1, \forall k \in (0, \kappa_8], \tag{5.23}$$

and thus

$$\bigcup_{k\in(0,\kappa_8]} \mathcal{A}_k \subset \mathcal{B}_1. \tag{5.24}$$

Let us recall that our goal is to prove, using Theorem 5.2, that the discrete global attractors $\mathcal{A}_k$ converge to the continuous global attractor $\mathcal{A}$. Thanks to (5.24), condition (H1) of Theorem 5.2 holds true. There remains to prove the finite time uniform convergence required by (H2). In order to do that, we define, for any $k > 0$ and for any function ψ, the following:

$$\psi_k(t) = \psi^n, \quad t \in [(n-1)k, nk), \tag{5.25}$$

$$\tilde{\psi}_k(t) = \psi^n + \frac{t - nk}{k}(\psi^n - \psi^{n-1}), \quad t \in [(n-1)k, nk). \tag{5.26}$$

With the above notations, equations (2.46) and (2.47) can be rewritten as follows; for $t \in [(n-1)k, nk)$:

$$\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{v}_k(t)}{\partial t}, v\right) + \nu((\tilde{v}_k(t), v)) + b_1(\tilde{v}_k(t), \tilde{v}_k(t), v) = (e_2\tilde{\theta}_k(t), v) + (f_k(t), v), \forall v \in V_1, \tag{5.27}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\theta}_k(t)}{\partial t}, \theta\right) + \kappa((\tilde{\theta}_k(t), \theta)) + b_2(\tilde{v}_k(t), \tilde{\theta}_k(t), \theta) - (\tilde{v}_k(t))_2, \theta) = (g_k(t), \theta), \forall \theta \in V_2, \tag{5.28}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}(f_k(t), v) = {}& \nu((\tilde{v}_k(t) - v_k(t), v)) + b_1(\tilde{v}_k(t), \tilde{v}_k(t), v) \\ & - b_1(v_k(t), v_k(t), v) - (e_2(\tilde{\theta}_k(t) - \theta_k(t)), v),\end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(g_k(t),\theta) = \kappa((\tilde{\theta}_k(t)-\theta_k(t),\theta)) + b_2(\tilde{v}_k(t),\tilde{\theta}_k(t),\theta)\\ - b_2(v_k(t),\theta_k(t),\theta) - ((\tilde{v}_k(t)-v_k(t))_2,\theta).\end{aligned} \tag{5.30}$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let $T^* > 0$ be arbitrarily fixed and let $k \le \kappa_0$, where*

$$\kappa_0 = \min\{\kappa_8, \kappa_7(R_1)\}, \tag{5.31}$$

with κ_8 being given in (5.22) *and κ_7 being given in Theorem 4.3. Assume that $\{v_0,\theta_0\} \in \mathcal{A}_k$ and let $\{v^n,\theta^n\}$ be the solution of the numerical scheme* (2.45)–(2.47)*. Then there exist $K_6(T^*)$ and $K_7(T^*)$ such that*

$$\|f_k\|^2_{L^2(0,T^*;V_1')} \le kK_6(T^*), \tag{5.32}$$

and

$$\|g_k\|^2_{L^2(0,T^*;V_2')} \le kK_7(T^*). \tag{5.33}$$

Proof. Let us first note that for any $t \in [(n-1)k, nk)$ we have

$$\tilde{\psi}_k(t) - \psi_k(t) = \frac{t-nk}{k}(\psi^n - \psi^{n-1}). \tag{5.34}$$

Also, since $\{v_0,\theta_0\} \in \mathcal{A}_k$, we have that $\|\{v_0,\theta_0\}\| \le R_1$ (by (5.23)) and then Theorem 4.3 implies that for $k \le \kappa_0$,

$$\|\{v^n,\theta^n\}\| \le K_5(R_1),\ \forall n \ge 0. \tag{5.35}$$

Now let $v \in V_1$ be such that $\|v\| \le 1$, and let $t \in [(n-1)k, nk)$ be fixed. Using property (2.31) of the trilinear form b_1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&|b_1(\tilde{v}_k(t),\tilde{v}_k(t),v) - b_1(v_k(t),v_k(t),v)|\\ &= |b_1(\tilde{v}_k(t)-v_k(t),\tilde{v}_k(t),v) + b_1(v_k(t),\tilde{v}_k(t)-v_k(t),v)|\\ &\le c_b(\|\tilde{v}_k(t)-v_k(t)\|(\|\tilde{v}_k(t)\| + \|v_k(t)\|)\|v\|\\ &\le c\|v^n - v^{n-1}\| \quad (\text{by } (5.34), (5.35) \text{ and } \|v\| \le 1).\end{aligned} \tag{5.36}$$

We also have

$$\nu|((\tilde{v}_k(t)-v_k(t),v))| \le \nu\|v^n - v^{n-1}\|, \tag{5.37}$$

$$|(e_2(\tilde{\theta}_k(t)-\theta_k(t)),v)| \le \|\theta^n - \theta^{n-1}\|. \tag{5.38}$$

Relations (5.36)–(5.38) imply

$$\|f_k(t)\|_{V_1'} \le c(\|v^n - v^{n-1}\| + \|\theta^n - \theta^{n-1}\|), \tag{5.39}$$

and thus, setting $N^* = \lfloor T^\star/k \rfloor$ and recalling that $\|\{v_0,\theta_0\}\| \le R_1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_k\|^2_{L^2(0,T^*;V_1')} = \int_0^{T^*} \|f_k(t)\|^2_{V_1'}dt = \sum_{n=1}^{N^*+1}\int_{(n-1)k}^{nk}\|f_k(t)\|^2_{V_1'}dt\\ \le kK_6(T^*) \quad (\text{by } (5.39), (4.42), (4.64)),\end{aligned} \tag{5.40}$$

which proves (5.32).

Now let $\theta \in V_2$ be such that $\|\theta\| \leq 1$, and let $t \in [(n-1)k, nk)$ be fixed. Using property (2.36) of the trilinear form b_2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|b_2(\tilde{v}_k(t), \tilde{\theta}_k(t), \theta) - b_2(v_k(t), \theta_k(t), \theta)| \\
&\quad = |b_2(\tilde{v}_k(t) - v_k(t), \tilde{\theta}_k(t), \theta) + b_2(v_k(t), \tilde{\theta}_k(t) - \theta_k(t), \theta)| \\
&\quad \leq c_b(\|\tilde{v}_k(t) - v_k(t)\| \|\tilde{\theta}_k(t)\| + \|v_k(t)\| \|\tilde{\theta}_k(t) - \theta_k(t)\|)\|\theta\| \\
&\quad \leq c(\|v^n - v^{n-1}\| + \|\theta^n - \theta^{n-1}\|) \quad (\text{by } (5.34), (5.35) \text{ and } \|\theta\| \leq 1).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.41}$$

We also have

$$\kappa|((\tilde{\theta}_k(t) - \theta_k(t), \theta))| \leq \kappa\|\theta^n - \theta^{n-1}\|, \tag{5.42}$$

$$|((\tilde{v}_k(t) - v_k(t))_2, \theta)| \leq \|v^n - v^{n-1}\|. \tag{5.43}$$

Relations (5.41)–(5.43) imply

$$\|g_k(t)\|_{V_2'} \leq c(\|v^n - v^{n-1}\| + \|\theta^n - \theta^{n-1}\|), \tag{5.44}$$

and thus setting $N^* = \lfloor T^\star/k \rfloor$ and recalling that $\|\{v_0, \theta_0\}\| \leq R_1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|g_k\|^2_{L^2(0,T^*;V_2')} &= \int_0^{T^*} \|g_k(t)\|^2_{V_2'} dt = \sum_{n=1}^{N^*+1} \int_{(n-1)k}^{nk} \|g_k(t)\|^2_{V_2'} dt \\
&\leq kK_7(T^*) \quad (\text{by } (5.44), (4.42), (4.64)),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.45}$$

which proves (5.33) and the proof of the lemma is complete. ☐

We are now able to prove that condition (H2) of Theorem 5.2 is satisfied. More precisely, we have the following

Proposition 5.3 (Finite time uniform convergence)**.** *For any* $T^* > 0$ *we have*

$$\lim_{k \to 0} \sup_{\{v_0,\theta_0\} \in \mathcal{A}_k,\, nk \in [0,T^*]} |S_k^n\{v_0, \theta_0\} - S(nk)\{v_0, \theta_0\}| = 0. \tag{5.46}$$

Proof. Let

$$\xi_k(t) = v(t) - \tilde{v}_k(t), \qquad \eta_k(t) = \theta(t) - \tilde{\theta}_k(t). \tag{5.47}$$

Subtracting (5.27) and (5.28) from (2.11) and (2.12) written in their week form, respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{\partial \xi_k(t)}{\partial t}, v'\right) + \nu((\xi_k(t), v')) + b_1(\xi_k(t), v(t), v') \\
&\quad + b_1(\tilde{v}_k(t), \xi_k(t), v') = (e_2\eta_k(t), v') - (f_k(t), v'),\ \forall v' \in V_1,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.48}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\frac{\partial\eta_k(t)}{\partial t},\theta'\right)+\kappa((\eta_k(t),\theta'))+b_2(\xi_k(t),\theta(t),\theta')\\&\quad+b_2(\tilde{v}_k(t),\eta_k(t),\theta')-((\xi_k(t))_2,\theta')=-(g_k(t),\theta'),\ \forall\theta'\in V_2.\end{aligned}\tag{5.49}$$

Replacing v' by $\xi_k(t)$ in (5.48), we find

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|\xi_k(t)|^2+\nu\|\xi_k(t)\|^2+b_1(\xi_k(t),v(t),\xi_k(t))\\&\quad=(e_2\eta_k(t),\xi_k(t))-(f_k(t),\xi_k(t)).\end{aligned}\tag{5.50}$$

Using property (2.31) of the form b_1, we bound the nonlinear term as

$$\begin{aligned}b_1(\xi_k(t),v(t),\xi_k(t))&\le c_b|\xi_k(t)|\|\xi_k(t)\|\|v(t)\|\\&\le\frac{\nu}{6}\|\xi_k(t)\|^2+\frac{c}{\nu}|\xi_k(t)|^2\|v(t)\|^2.\end{aligned}\tag{5.51}$$

Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}|(e_2\eta_k(t),\xi_k(t))|&\le|\eta_k(t)||\xi_k(t)|\\&\le|\eta_k(t)|\|\xi_k(t)\|\\&\le\frac{\nu}{6}\|\xi_k(t)\|^2+\frac{c}{\nu}|\eta_k(t)|^2,\end{aligned}\tag{5.52}$$

$$\begin{aligned}|(f_k(t),\xi_k(t))|&\le\|f_k(t)\|_{V_1'}\|\xi_k(t)\|\\&\le\frac{\nu}{6}\|\xi_k(t)\|^2+\frac{c}{\nu}\|f_k(t)\|^2_{V_1'}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.53}$$

Relations (5.50)–(5.53) imply

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}|\xi_k(t)|^2+\nu\|\xi_k(t)\|^2\le&\frac{c}{\nu}\|v(t)\|^2|\xi_k(t)|^2\\&+\frac{c}{\nu}|\eta_k(t)|^2+\frac{c}{\nu}\|f_k(t)\|^2_{V_1'}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.54}$$

Now replacing θ' by $\eta_k(t)$ in (5.49), we find

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|\eta_k(t)|^2+\kappa\|\eta_k(t)\|^2+b_2(\xi_k(t),\theta(t),\eta_k(t))\\&\quad-((\xi_k(t))_2,\eta_k(t))=-(g_k(t),\eta_k(t)).\end{aligned}\tag{5.55}$$

Using property (2.36) of the form b_2, we bound the nonlinear term as

$$\begin{aligned}|b_2(\xi_k(t),\theta(t),\eta_k(t))|&\le c_b|\xi_k(t)|^{1/2}\|\xi_k(t)\|^{1/2}\|\theta(t)\||\eta_k(t)|^{1/2}\|\eta_k(t)\|^{1/2}\\&\le\frac{\nu}{6}\|\xi_k(t)\|^2+\frac{\kappa}{6}\|\eta_k(t)\|^2\\&\quad+\frac{c}{\nu}\|\theta(t)\|^2|\xi_k(t)|^2+\frac{c}{\kappa}\|\theta(t)\|^2|\eta_k(t)|^2.\end{aligned}\tag{5.56}$$

Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we also have the following bounds:

$$\begin{aligned}|((\xi_k(t))_2, \eta_k(t))| &\le |\xi_k(t)||\eta_k(t)|\\ &\le \frac{\kappa}{6}\|\eta_k(t)\|^2 + \frac{c}{\kappa}|\xi_k(t)|^2,\end{aligned} \tag{5.57}$$

$$\begin{aligned}|(g_k(t), \eta_k(t))| &\le \|g_k(t)\|_{V_2'}\|\eta_k(t)\|\\ &\le \frac{\kappa}{6}\|\eta_k(t)\|^2 + \frac{c}{\kappa}\|g_k(t)\|^2_{V_2'}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.58}$$

Relations (5.55)–(5.58) imply

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}|\eta_k(t)|^2 + \kappa\|\eta_k(t)\|^2 \le &\frac{\nu}{3}\|\xi_k(t)\|^2 + \frac{c}{\nu}\|\theta(t)\|^2|\xi_k(t)|^2\\ &+ \frac{c}{\kappa}\|\theta(t)\|^2|\eta_k(t)|^2 + \frac{c}{\kappa}|\xi_k(t)|^2\\ &+ \frac{c}{\kappa}\|g_k(t)\|^2_{V_2'}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.59}$$

Adding equations (5.54) and (5.59), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}(|\xi_k(t)|^2 + |\eta_k(t)|^2) + \frac{2}{3}\nu\|\xi(t)\|^2 + \kappa\|\eta(t)\|^2\\ &\le \frac{c}{\nu}\left(\|v(t)\|^2 + \|\theta(t)\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{\kappa}\right)|\xi_k(t)|^2\\ &+ c\left(\frac{1}{\nu} + \frac{1}{\kappa}\|\theta(t)\|^2\right)|\eta_k(t)|^2\\ &+ \frac{c}{\nu}\|f_k(t)\|^2_{V_1'} + \frac{c}{\kappa}\|g_k(t)\|^2_{V_2'}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.60}$$

As shown in [15], the solution $\{v, \theta\}$ of the continuous problem is uniformly bounded in V for all $t \ge 0$. More precisely, we have

$$\sup_{t\ge 0}\ \sup_{\{v_0,\theta_0\}\in\mathcal{B}_1}\|S(t)\{v_0, \theta_0\}\| \le c. \tag{5.61}$$

Thus, inequality (5.60) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}(|\xi_k(t)|^2 + |\eta_k(t)|^2) + \frac{2}{3}\nu\|\xi(t)\|^2 + \kappa\|\eta(t)\|^2\\ &\le c(|\xi_k(t)|^2 + |\eta_k(t)|^2) + \frac{c}{\nu}\|f_k(t)\|^2_{V_1'} + \frac{c}{\kappa}\|g_k(t)\|^2_{V_2'}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.62}$$

By Gronwall's lemma and using the fact that $\xi_k(0) = \eta(0) = 0$, we obtain

$$|\xi_k(t)|^2 + |\eta_k(t)|^2 \le ce^{cT^*}(\|f_k\|^2_{L^2(0,T^*;V_1')} + \|g_k\|^2_{L^2(0,T^*;V_2')}), \tag{5.63}$$

and recalling (5.32) and (5.33), we find

$$|\xi_k(t)|^2 + |\eta_k(t)|^2 \le ck, \tag{5.64}$$

for some constant $c = c(T^*) > 0$.

We therefore have,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{k\to 0} \sup_{\{v_0,\theta_0\}\in\mathcal{A}_k,\, nk\in[0,T^*]} |S_k^n\{v_0,\theta_0\} - S(nk)\{v_0,\theta_0\}| \\
&= \lim_{k\to 0} \sup_{\{v_0,\theta_0\}\in\mathcal{A}_k,\, nk\in[0,T^*]} \sup_{\{v^n,\theta^n\}\in S_k^n\{v_0,\theta_0\}} |\{v^n,\theta^n\} - \{v(nk),\theta(nk)\}| \\
&= \lim_{k\to 0} \sup_{\{v_0,\theta_0\}\in\mathcal{A}_k,\, nk\in[0,T^*]} \sup_{\{v^n,\theta^n\}\in S_k^n\{v_0,\theta_0\}} |\{\tilde{v}_k(nk),\tilde{\theta}_k(nk)\} - \{v(nk),\theta(nk)\}| \\
&= \lim_{k\to 0} \sup_{\{v_0,\theta_0\}\in\mathcal{A}_k,\, nk\in[0,T^*]} \sup_{\{v^n,\theta^n\}\in S_k^n\{v_0,\theta_0\}} |\{\xi_k(nk),\eta_k(nk)\}| = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.65}$$

which concludes the proof of the lemma. ☐

We have, therefore, proved that conditions (H1) and (H2) of Theorem 5.2 are both satisfied and thus, the long-term behavior of the semigroup $S(t)$ generated by the continuous thermohydraulics equations (2.11)–(2.12) is approximated by that of the m-semigroups generated by the discrete system (2.45)–(2.47). More precisely, we have the following:

Theorem 5.4. *The family of attractors* $\{\mathcal{A}_k\}_{k\in(0,\kappa_0]}$ *converges, as* $k \to 0$*, to* $\mathcal{A}$*, in the following sense:*

$$\lim_{k\to 0} \operatorname{dist}(\mathcal{A}_k, \mathcal{A}) = 0,$$

where dist *denotes the Hausdorff semidistance in* H*, namely*

$$\operatorname{dist}(\mathcal{A}_k, \mathcal{A}) = \sup_{x_k\in\mathcal{A}_k} \inf_{x\in\mathcal{A}} |x_k - x|.$$

Acknowledgements. This work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under the grant NSF–DMS–0906440.

REFERENCES

[1] J. BALL, *Continuity properties and global attractors of generalized semiflows and the Navier–Stokes equations*, J. Nonlinear Sci., 7 (1997), pp. 475–502.

[2] V. BARBU, *Nonlinear semigroups and differential equations in Banach spaces*, Noordhoff International Publishing, Leiden, 1976.

[3] C. BERNARDI AND Y. MADAY, *Approximations Spectrales de Problèmes aux Limites Elliptiques*, vol. 10 of Mathématiques & Applications (Berlin), Springer-Verlag, Paris, 1992.

[4] T. CARABALLO, J. LANGA, V. MELNIK, AND J. VALERO, *Pullback attractors of nonautonomous and stochastic multivalued dynamical systems*, Set-Valued Anal., 2 (2003), pp. 153–201.

[5] M. COTI-ZELATI AND F. TONE, *Multivalued attractors and their approximation: Applications to the Navier–Stokes equations.*

[6] C. Foias, O. Manley, and R. Temam, *Attractors for the Bénard problem. Existence and physical bounds on their fractal dimension*, Nonlinear Anal., TMA 11, (1987), pp. 939–967.

[7] V. Girault and P.-A. Raviart, *Finite Element Approximation of the Navier-Stokes Equations*, vol. 749 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1979.

[8] D. Gottlieb and S. Orszag, *Numerical Analysis of Spectral Methods, Theory and Applications*, no. 26 in CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1977.

[9] J. G. Heywood and R. Rannacher, *Finite element approximation of the nonstationary Navier-Stokes problem. I. Regularity of solutions and second-order error estimates for spatial discretization*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 19 (1982), pp. 275–311.

[10] M. Marion and R. Temam, *Navier–Stokes Equations. Theory and Approximation*, vol. VI of Handbook of Numerical Analysis, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1998, pp. 503–688.

[11] V. Melnik and J. Valero, *On attractors of multivalued semi-flows and differential inclusion*, Set-Valued Anal., 6 (1998), pp. 83–111.

[12] R. Rossi, S. Segatti, and U. Stefanelli, *Attractors for gradient flows of nonconvex functionals and applications*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 187 (2008), pp. 91–135.

[13] G. Schimperna, S. Segatti, and U. Stefanelli, *Well-posedness and long-time behavior for a class of doubly nonlinear equations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 18 (2007), pp. 15–38.

[14] J. Shen, *Long time stabilities and convergences for the fully discrete nonlinear Galerkin methods*, Appl. Anal., 38 (1990), pp. 201–229.

[15] R. Temam, *Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics*, vol. 68 of Applied Mathematical Sciences, Springer-Verlag, New York, second ed., 1997.

[16] ——, *Navier–Stokes Equations: Theory and Numerical Analysis*, AMS Chelsea, Providence, RI, 2001. First published by North–Holland in 1977.

[17] F. Tone, *The H^2-stability for all time of the 2D periodic Navier–Stokes equations*, Asymptotic Analysis, 51 (2007), pp. 231–245.

[18] ——, *On the long-time H^2-stability of the implicit Euler scheme for the 2D magnetohydrodynamics equations*, Journal of Scientific Computing, 38 (2009), pp. 331–348.

[19] F. Tone and X. Wang, *Approximation of the stationary statistical properties of the dynamical system generated by the two-dimensional Rayleigh-Benard convection problem*, Analysis and Applications. To appear.

[20] F. Tone and D. Wirosoetisno, *On the long-time stability of the implicit Euler scheme for the 2D Navier–Stokes equations*, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis, 44 (2006), pp. 29–40.

[21] X. Wang, *Approximation of stationary statistical properties of dissipative dynamical systems: time discretization*, Math. Comp., 79 (2010), pp. 259–280.